Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

Public Hearing

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice
Austin Cullen

Held at:

Vancouver, British Columbia via video link

Wednesday, June 10, 2020

APPEARANCES

Brock Martland, QC Patrick McGowan Cullen Commission

Cherisse Friesen

B.C. (Ministry of Finance and Gaming Policy)

Judith Hoffman Ashley Gardner Canada

Ludmila Herbst, QC Catherine George Law Society of B.C.

Ron Usher Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

William Smart, QC B.C. Lottery Corporation

Mark Skwarok Melanie Harmer **Great Canadian Gaming Corporation**

Christine Mainville Robert Kroeker

Robin McFee, QC Maya Ollek James Lightbody

Chris Weafer Patrick Weafer

B.C. Real Estate Association

Jitesh Mistry B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

Carina Chiu BMW

Latoya Farrell B.C. Civil Liberties Association

Jo-Anne Stark Kevin Westell Canadian Bar Association, B.C. Branch

Kevin Westell Criminal Defence Advocacy Society

Kevin Comeau Transparency International Coalition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Witnesses called for the Commission:	
CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT ROBERT GILCLHRIST INSPECTOR LESLIE STEVENS	
RYLAND WELLWOOD Examination by Mr. McCleery	1
Examination by Mr. Usher	21
Examination by Mr. Smart	24
Examination by Mr. Skwarok	37
Examination by Mr. Mistry	46
Examination by Ms. Mainville	49
Examination by Mr. McFee	59
Examination by Ms. Chiu	64
Examination by Mr. Comeau	67

EXHIBITS

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

 Vancouver, B.C. June 10, 2020

THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, everyone. The hearing is now resumed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McCleery.

MR. McCLEERY: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We concluded yesterday's proceedings by discussing the CISC's National Criminal Intelligence Estimate on the Criminal Market for Illicit Drugs. I've concluded my questions on that report, so I'd like to move to a different topic now.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness called for the Commission, recalled.

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness called for the Commission, recalled.

RYLAND WELLWOOD, a witness called for the Commission, recalled.

EXAMINATION BY MR. McCLEERY, continuing:

Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, it's evident from the evidence of the panel yesterday that the CISC and the Provincial Bureau do play a role in combating money laundering in Canada and in this province through the production of intelligence products and reports. I'm wondering if we can now discuss some opportunities to enhance or improve the work that your agency does. Maybe to start off, are you able to identify for us any ways in which the CISC or Canada's criminal intelligence landscape generally could be improved or enhanced? I believe you're muted, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist.

MR. GILCHRIST: My apologies, Mr. McCleery. Before responding to that question, I did have a couple of points from the questions yesterday that, if it's appropriate with the Commission, one being a statistic that you asked me about and the other

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

being a point that I think would be of further guidance to the Commission.

Q Sure, please go ahead.

MR. GILCHRIST: So, taking us back to yesterday's discussion around the Public Report on Organized Crime, and on page 3, Figure 1, we discussed the figure at quite detail. And yesterday you had asked me, with regards to British Columbia, whether I was able to provide the actual numbers of the three levels of assessed organized crime groups. And so, once again, just reflecting back, as testified yesterday, this figure does refer to the assessed organized crime groups and does not include the unassessed. And with regards to British Columbia, it notes that there are high-level threat groups, medium-level and low-level threat groups, and the precise numbers that you were seeking, once again, from a national perspective for British Columbia was -or are six high-level threat groups, 35 medium and 83 low, would be the numerical breakdown of that, once again, referring to the 2019 data.

Q Thank you.

MR. GILCHRIST: And if I may, the other point that I wish to advise the Commission is with regards to when we were discussing, once again, organized crime in Canada, and we didn't talk about the geographic scope. We spoke quite extensively about the breakdown amongst provinces, as illustrated in that same figure that we were just speaking about. However, specific to money laundering, there's a couple of statistics that I thought would be informative to share. Once again, based on the -- our analysis of the 2019 Integrated Threat Assessment data.

So, the first statistic is with regards to domestic scope, the geographic scope of organized crime groups. Analysis of the groups involved in money laundering in 2019 -- so, once again, just for clarity, this is referring to the 176 groups that I've previously -- organized crime groups that I've previously referenced. Analysis of that shows that approximately 37 percent -- so, meaning 65 -- approximately 65 of the 176 -- operate within multiple jurisdictions in Canada, as they have either interprovincial or intraprovincial links. And so what this

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

indicates, from CISC's perspective, is that their proceeds of crime are crossing multiple policing jurisdictions. And, as well, it's interesting to note that almost 20 percent of the 176 groups, and more precisely, 36 groups, have interprovincial links. And so I wanted to -- to share that analysis.

And another point of analysis that I wish to share is with regards to international scope. Once again, in 2019, in referring to the same data set of 176 organized crime groups that are involved in money laundering, that were assessed to be involved in money laundering, approximately 50 percent of those groups -- and I'm referring to approximately 89 -- are involved in money -- that are involved in money laundering have international links. And the top five countries to which they are linked internationally is the United States, Mexico, China, Colombia and Australia.

Additionally, in analyzing this data, more than half of these groups -- and I'm referring to 49 organized crime groups -- are also involved in the cocaine market, which could explain the presence of Mexico and Colombia, as they are considered source countries for cocaine.

Those were the two points, Mr. McCleery, that I wished just to elaborate on from yesterday.

- Q Thank you for -- for adding that. Perhaps before we move back to that question that I posed, I wonder if either you or perhaps Mr. Wellwood, or Inspector Stevens, would have any insight into these geographic factors as they might relate to British Columbia organized crime groups in particular. Can we see similar trends there or -- is that analysis that's been done.
- MR. GILCHRIST: At a national level, we haven't done that analysis down to specifically with regards to British Columbia. We've only done it at a national level.
- MR. WELLWOOD: Mr. McCleery?
- Q Yes, sir.
- MR. WELLWOOD: That isn't any information that I have prepared, that I'm able to share today. I would have to refer to other information contained within our threat assessments we produced over

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

the past number of years to be able to provide feedback.

- Q Okay, thank you for that. In that case, why don't we proceed with the question I had posed at the outset of the proceedings. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, what can you tell us about opportunities for improving or enhancing the work of the CISC or Canada's criminal intelligence landscape generally?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, one of the opportunities that I see for improving criminal intelligence related to money laundering is, first of all, given that the core of comprehensive intelligence assessments and analysis is the requirement for timely and accurate information, a continued increase in the lawful sharing of information is key.

I would note that CISC, by its very nature, consisting of law enforcement and partner agencies at the municipal, provincial and national levels, is an example of the policing community's general commitment to increase information sharing. CISC's close working relationship with the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime is another positive example of how intelligence is working closely with police services from across the country.

However, more can be done to enhance information sharing both to and from non-police agencies and encourage public-private partnerships. And information sharing is something that I speak on, on a fairly regular basis, given my role as the Director General of CISC, and I would share a message that I communicate in many forums of the importance of generally encouraging a shift in culture, information sharing culture, from one of -towards one of need to share versus a very strict need to know basis. That would be the first suggestion or input point that I would have with regards to potential opportunities for improving criminal intelligence related to money laundering.

The second area is with regards to technology. And technology is anticipated to continue to play a large and prominent role in improving criminal intelligence assessments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

During my testimony yesterday, I spoke about our existing intelligence database, the Automated Criminal Intelligence Information System, and the fact that that's the only criminal intelligence data system available to all three levels of law enforcement across Canada. The reality is that despite this unique functionality, the system is close to 40 years old and we are working hard at CISC to plan for a new system. However, I do highlight that having a robust, comprehensive intelligence database capable of meeting the needs, the modern day needs of Canadian law enforcement, remains a significant goal of CISC's, to modernize.

On the same theme of technology, I would note that it's not only CISC's intelligence database that's seen as being required, or just acknowledged as being required, because I know that many of our police services have specific IT -- information technology tools that are important for their intelligence collection and analysis at a police service -- at an individual police service level, in order to increasingly connect the linkages amongst organized crime. And so I acknowledge that, from a CISC perspective, that having a modern national intelligence database is important, and that's in addition to any individual information technology needs that our member services may have at a police service level.

And the final point that I'll -- I'll bring us back to my testimony yesterday where I testified about how we are currently examining how to best incorporate money laundering into the Integrated Threat Assessment Process so that this information is gathered, analyzed and reported on in the various CISC threat assessments. as I testified yesterday, while the existing eight standardized threat criteria do allow for information relating to money laundering to be identified through that process, the current goal is to review those threat criteria to see if it is accurately and appropriately describing the threat level specific to money laundering and to identify any potential solutions. And as I testified yesterday, that work is ongoing, drawing upon subject matter expertise of our

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

member agencies from across the country.

Those, Mr. McCleery, would be the three primary points that I would make reference to with regards to potential opportunities for improving the criminal intelligence ability to assess money laundering.

- Q Thank you. If I could maybe go back to the first of those points you made. You drew a distinction between a need to know standard and a need to share standard. Can you tell us in a little bit more detail what information sharing on a need to share basis would look like?
- MR. GILCHRIST: It speaks to a culture, recognizing that serious and organized crime, in a very general sense, operates across jurisdictions. operates intraprovincially, interprovincially. It operates across Canada, and it operates increasingly internationally. So it is -- it operates beyond the jurisdictional confines of any individual police service, whether we're referring to a federal, provincial or municipal level. And so it's increasingly important to be able to share, lawfully share information to make sure that pieces of information that perhaps, by themselves, do not have independent significance, but when connected with other pieces of information, start to take on increased significance. And within criminal intelligence, that's something that our analysts see on an ongoing basis is how information from one jurisdiction, when matched with information from another jurisdiction -- [cough] excuse me -- a picture starts to emerge as to the capabilities of an organized crime group.

And so it's -- when I refer to the culture, it's, in essence, continuing along that -- that shift of sharing and recognizing that there is a need to share. As I mentioned earlier, there are some very positive examples of that, and I've referred to CISC, in its own nature, from my perspective, demonstrates the Canadian law enforcement community's dedication to sharing information and intelligence.

In addition to CISC, in general, within CISC, there's a few examples that I would point to. First of all is that we successfully coordinate a number of national thematic working

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

groups which have greatly expanded our knowledge of organized crime, and these thematic working groups draw upon the collective expertise from various police services and -- and other stakeholders, and they are based on specific themes.

The national working groups, as I mentioned, have allowed us to enhance our knowledge and understanding of serious and organized crime groups' impact in Canada and have enhanced our analysis on the specific, more important threat groups within those criminal markets.

For example, the -- one of the thematic working groups that's managed is a street gang working group, and it involves over 30 law enforcement agencies from across the country. Typically involves approximately -- from those 30, approximately 30 law enforcement agencies, about a hundred participants. And it's an example of how, by coming together and working collaboratively and sharing information, the law enforcement community is seeing success.

Through the working group, we were able to redefine the term "street gang" which greatly -- by having a common definition, it allowed us to enhance our knowledge specifically with regards to that. We actually went from, our collective knowledge on criminal street gangs increased by about 75 percent. And so I just use it as an example of how the Canadian law enforcement community is -- is mindful of the need to share and is exploring opportunities to do that.

Another example with our thematic working groups is with regards to fentanyl. Within the fentanyl working group, the expert participants come from about 30 law enforcement agencies, in total, about 50 participants. And through the work of the fentanyl working group, they not only focused on fentanyl, but they -- they identified the increase in threat of methamphetamines, and as a result of that, we were able to take that collaborative information and provide a much more accurate picture of the criminal -- the methamphetamine criminal market in Canada. And over a period of time, as a result of the increased knowledge about the methamphetamine working group, the Canadian Integrated Response

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

to Organized Crime has actually been able to build a national methamphetamine strategy.

So, once again, I only share that with -- to illustrate the benefits of working closely together across -- across the law enforcement community.

And with regards specifically to money laundering, our anti-money laundering working group, we draw -- we draw approximately 20 partners, CISC partners, and this working group has significantly enhanced CISC's threat picture on money laundering in general, and more specifically, has increased our understanding with regards to organized crime groups involved in money laundering on behalf of other groups as money laundering service providers that I spoke about yesterday.

And I think there's -- there are existing public-private partnerships, and I think that the opportunities continue to exist to further explore those partnerships. Particularly when we're looking at an issue like money laundering, it's evident that working closely with sectors such as the banking sector or the financial sector would be beneficial to enhancing the knowledge of money laundering. It's recognized -- first of all, when I refer to partnerships with police and non-police or public-private partnerships, I will underline that what I'm referring to there is essentially maximizing information sharing opportunities that are supported by existing legislation and common law, recognizing the importance of privacy. I want to be clear on that point that what I'm referring to is -- is strictly within the parameters of lawful sharing of information.

Having said that, private partners, such as in the financial sector, they have great expertise across the financial markets, considering both their comprehensive education and knowledge in these areas. There would be trends-related information. There would be information on fraud schemes and financial losses. There would be information on suspected illegal activity in money laundering. There would be information benefits on how criminals are countering anti-money laundering systems.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

There would be information to be learned in relation to money laundering typologies, and as well, links between organized crime involved in money laundering and international corruption.

And I don't want to suggest that there is not a positive professional working relationship with these sectors, but what I'm referring to there, in the same vein that I've referred to with regards to police -- to police sharing, it's the same principle of maximizing the information sharing opportunities that are supported by existing legislation and common law principles, to their full potential.

- Q Thank you. And you mentioned the need for cultural change as one of the factors that would move us towards this need to share environment. Are there other legal or -- practical or legal barriers you can identify that need to be overcome to move us towards a greater information sharing culture?
- MR. GILCHRIST: What I would say there is that my input in relation to this topic is in relation to information sharing that is currently authorized by existing legislation and common law. With regards to whether there are additional legislative opportunities, I would defer that question to other subject matter experts. It's not a topic that I have particular knowledge or expertise in, and therefore I would defer that advice to the Commission to other -- other law enforcement stakeholders, or justice system stakeholders, to provide that input.
- Q Thank you. Maybe to pick up on the second point that you mentioned, the value that might come from enhanced technological capability. Can you tell us a little bit about what -- give us some examples of what the CISC might be able to do with enhanced technology that it's not able to do now?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. With enhanced technology, we would be able to handle increased amounts of information. When I say "handle" I mean we would be able to collect and assess increased amounts of information. Because of the age of the current system, and when it was introduced, albeit approximately 40 years ago, it would have been state of the art at the time, considered

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

state of the art at the time.

However, when we fast-forward to the current era, one of the challenges with the existing system is that all data requires manual upload into the system. And so, as you can appreciate, police services across the country are very busy, and the requirement to take information from an existing records management system in the home police agency and duplicate that information into our national intelligence database, referred to as ACIIS, that effort of having to duplicate it is time-consuming and very resource-intensive. And so a new system would have the capability to automate those processes which would, by its mere nature, greatly expand the amount of information that CISC analysists are able to have access to. A new system would allow our intelligence analysts to focus on analysis.

Currently, significant amounts of their time are invested in collecting intelligence and collecting information which can then be processed into intelligence. However, with a modern robust intelligence platform, and with the increased amounts of information that would be automatically generated, that would allow our analysts to focus more on their specific area of expertise, which is analysis which, in turn, would enhance the quality of the analysis and quality of the analytical products that are produced by CISC.

In addition, the modern -- a modern intelligence database would have analytical tools incorporated within it to automate some of the analysis components. And I won't suggest that that type of automated analysis would replace the skills of a trained criminal intelligence analyst, however, it would greatly enhance it and it would provide a baseline of analysis, and then the specific expertise of a trained -- trained criminal intelligence analyst would be able to, first of all, verify the automated analysis and then compliment it, based on the training and analytical judgement.

Those are -- there are many benefits of a new automated criminal intelligence platform. However, those are some of the key ones that I thought would be worthy of further explaining,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- specifically the automated upload capabilities, the ability to handle greater amounts of information, and the enhancements to analytical tools.
- Q Thank you. And are you able to tell us if there are either ongoing efforts or maybe past efforts to replace this system? It strikes me that 40 years is an extraordinarily old computer system, is that something that's sort of on the radar of the CISC at the moment?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Absolutely. We have strong support from our National Executive Committee. around the National Executive Committee table is unanimous, and -- so CISC, we've been working very diligently to put a plan together to eventually replace the existing ACIIS system, and we're working -- currently working very detailed with subject matter experts from the RCMP information technology area, as well as members of my team at CISC Central Bureau, to fully explore and plan the design of a new system. so that work is -- is a significant undertaking, and has been for the time that I've been at CISC. It's been a significant part of our day to day business, and we'll continue forward in that regard.
- Q Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, I wonder if I might turn to you and see if you have any comment, as someone who I suspect is perhaps intimately familiar with the difficulties of working with a dated system like this one?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Mr. McCleery, do you have -- do you have any particular questions, or can you -- can you refine your question?
- Q Sure. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist talked a little bit about the -- the time that's -- of analysts that's devoted to a task, like uploading, that might be automated under a new system. Can you -- are you able to offer any comments on sort of how much time that takes analysts today and what the value of a sort of automated task like that might be for the analysis that could be done by the Provincial Bureau?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I agree that on the two fronts it would free up time and create additional capacity for analytical work, both on expanding the breadth of

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

the information that we would have access to, without having to engage in any direct collection activities, but also in enhancing the ability for the analysts to complete their work and create assessments. Whether it be for provincial threat assessment products or -- or for other criminal market or ad hoc -- ad hoc projects. I don't have any specific numbers I could share as far as, you know, how significant or insignificant that would be, but I would lean towards saying that that would be a significant benefit as compared to an insignificant benefit.

- Q Thank you very much. Inspector Stevens, I wonder if I might turn to you now to ask a similar question to what I posed to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist. Focusing on the province in particular, are you able to identify any improvements or enhancements to sort of the criminal landscape, criminal intelligence landscape in this province?
- MS. STEVENS: Yes, and I just want to preface that by saying that this is my personal opinion based on my observations of being the Bureau Director for the past two-and-a-half years, and on sort of --more on a practical level. So the first one -- I have three -- the first one is information sharing, and in addition to all of the great comments that were given by Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, we are -- on top of open source research which we can do, we're a hundred percent dependent on our partners to provide information to us and intelligence to us.

So, at the moment, there's no mechanism, no formal mechanism to ensure that this information intelligence is being shared with us. It is a gentleman's or a gentleperson's agreement from way back in the '70s that law enforcement agencies and partners would share. But we have no way of knowing what we're missing. We may get information at the beginning of a project or an investigation, and as Chief Superintendent Gilchrist mentioned yesterday, we may not get There's -- there's a lot of updates on that. information out there, and there could be a lot of gaps, based on the fact that there is no formal mechanism in place to ensure that all of our agencies are sharing with us rather than us

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

having to chase them down.

So the first one is information sharing. The second one -- and this is from talking to all of our partners who do provide us with intelligence and information. We deal with a lot of investigators and people within the law enforcement agencies in British Columbia. And a lot of the time, their main focus is public safety and violence, because a lot of the extra money in British Columbia is tied to the PTEP process, which is the Provincial Tactical Enforcement Priority process, and their main focus is public safety and violence. So, since the extra money -- and this is the perception of the investigators that we speak to -- since the extra money is tied to public safety and violence, they focus on projects that relate to public safety and violence. So the result is that a lot of the information and intelligence that we gather and have access to is skewed towards public safety and violence, and not towards any of the other necessarily criminal markets like cyber and money laundering, et cetera.

And the third one is resources, and we touched on this a bit yesterday, and that goes into -- there's two parts to that. First is sufficiency of resources and the second is the quality of the resources. So, in terms of sufficiency, I would say that it's the first time in the last two-and-a-half years that we have enough people sitting in the chairs in our office, although they're currently sitting in chairs in their kitchens, it's the first time in two-and-a-half years that we have enough actual resourcing. However, the analytical resources that we have are not trained analysts, they're all developmental analysts, so they're not fully developed, which means they need a lot of oversight and a lot of training and they take a lot of extra work for our supervisors. So that, of course, decreases our capacity and our ability to produce additional information.

And when we talk about the difference between strategic analysts and crime analysts, most analysts go through a crime analysis program, and their focus is on tactical and 14
Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)
Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

investigative needs. Vendor management, investigative support and collection of evidence. Our needs are slightly different. We need to attract and retain analysts for a pure intelligence role, and that role would be to use existing information and intelligence which is produced by others and to assess the criminal networks and the threats and to complete scans of the criminal markets, and to forecast. And that's really what our job is, to forecast. So, in order to do that, we need to have the right people in the room with the right qualities and qualifications who are able to do the work.

And those are my observations.

- Q Thank you. I wonder if I might follow up on a couple of those. The first point you mentioned, about information sharing and the absence of sort of a requirement that member agencies share information. Are you able to tell us if you've noticed any significant discrepancies in the quantity or nature of information from different member agencies that might suggest that there's a significant difference in how much they're providing and the extent to which they're complying with this gentleperson's agreement?
- MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?

 Q Sure. I'm just wondering, you've indicated one of the challenges you face is that member agencies aren't required to provide information and you're sort of reliant on them to submit what they want to or are able to. Have you noticed some discrepancies in what you've received from different member agencies that would suggest that some are deficient in what they provide?
- MS. STEVENS: Well, we do have an ability to determine what is being put into the current system, and we have conversations with those agencies, and right now everyone is compliant in terms of we believe we're getting what we need. We just don't have -- there's often a lag, and we also aren't aware if there's additional information that is available that is not being put into the system.

So I can't specifically answer the question in saying, yes, we see deficiencies and, you know, there's an issue with compliance or oversight. I don't know if Chief Superintendent Gilchrist would like to wade in on that at all in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

terms of from the national perspective. But from B.C.'s perspective, we -- for the most part, we feel we're getting what we need, but we just -- we just don't know if we are. There's just no way of knowing what's falling through the cracks and what we're missing, because there's no mechanism to force -- basically force people to use the system.

- Q And, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, Inspector Stevens invoked your name, so perhaps I'll give you a chance to comment, if you like.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. Well, my comments on that, from a national perspective, would be -- I would go back to my earlier testimony with regards to the needs for a modern intelligence database, and that the current database does not allow for automated uploads, and so from that perspective, is there additional information that if the automation was available, would we have access to it? The answer to that would be yes.

 Automation, from my perspective, would greatly enhance the quantity of information against which assessments could be drawn.

The other comment that I would have nationally is that I see very good support throughout the CISC membership working collaboratively to sharing information that can be generated into intelligence. What I see is 10 provincial bureaus that are all stewarded in different manners. For example, Central Bureau, being stewarded by the -- administratively stewarded by the RCMP. And depending on which province the provincial bureau is located in will impact on which agency is administratively stewarding it. But regardless of which agency is stewarding the provincial bureaus, what I see is a very genuine commitment across the law enforcement community to participate in CISC, to participate in our Integrated Threat Assessment Processes and to provide information that can support the national assessments.

Since being the -- becoming the Director General of CISC, one of the things that has really -- that I have admired on many occasions is the ability of individual police services to see beyond their specific jurisdictional boundaries and recognize that serious and

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission $\ensuremath{\mathsf{McCleery}}$

organized crime is operating regionally, provincially and nationally, in addition to internationally, in their commitment to providing assistance or information.

There's many times when I've seen individual police services -- we may be collecting on a topic that isn't necessarily what they would consider, in a specific jurisdiction, a priority, however, I do see a genuine commitment and collaborative effort to feed into those assessments. And really recognizing that crime is operating across boundaries, and so there is a -- there is an obligation on law enforcement to work in this manner.

I can, at a personal level, indicate that in the time that I've been in the chair, I've never reached out to an individual police service with a request that I did not get the assistance that I was seeking, and so I'm very, very grateful for the many times when individual police services, regardless of level, have risen to the challenge to assist CISC in the production of our national products.

I would, as well, draw to -- and I've mentioned the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime and how that -- how CISC interacts with that integrated responses and how we provide the criminal intelligence to inform and advise. And that committee is made up of major -- many major police services from across the country, from the operational side of policing, in addition to the participation of CISC. And what I've seen throughout my time engaged with the CIROC Committee is once again a very healthy and generous commitment to the member -- from the members, to share and to engage collectively and confidently on the assessment products.

The Supervisory Committee -- I haven't referred to that committee by formal name, however, that's the committee that consists of all of the provincial bureau directors from the 10 provincial bureaus, and myself, and that committee, to me, is another demonstration of law enforcement's commitment to working closely together. As I'm sure Inspector Stevens would -- would have observed, we come together as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

supervisory committee, and I -- similar to my comments earlier about the law enforcement community in general and police services rising to the challenge of working on issues that are beyond those in their immediate jurisdiction, within the Supervisory Committee, we are quite fortunate to have a network of 10 provincial bureaus who, without exception in my time as the Director General, have demonstrated that they see the big picture, that they see things through a lens that extends -- first of all, what's important in their individual province and the major jurisdictional police services within each individual province, that they see the big picture beyond that. They recognize that organized crime is operating regionally and across provinces and, in some instances, across Canada and internationally.

So, I point to all of those as -- as examples of where I've witnessed the good solid productive working relationship with the stakeholders of CISC and -- and balance that against my comments earlier, that an automated modern platform, from my perspective, will be a significant enhancement to -- to what Inspector Stevens referred to as the availability of information.

- Q Thank you. Inspector Stevens, the second point that you made related to the impact of policing priorities on the intelligence that comes into the Provincial Bureau, and you suggested that because much law enforcement is focused on public safety and addressing violent crime, that the intelligence you receive has a, sort of, focus on those types of issues. Given those comments, would you agree that, you know, if British Columbia were to have more dedicated -- more law enforcement resources dedicated to financial crime or money laundering, perhaps a dedicated policing unit, that that would result in more and higher quality intelligence on those subjects coming into the Provincial Bureau?
- MS. STEVENS: I'm not going to get into resourcing of investigative units or enforcements. Our job is strategic intelligence, and I'll stick with that.
- Q Thank you. In that case, I'll move to your final point about the resourcing within the -- within

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

the Provincial Bureau, and you mentioned that this is the first time in two-and-a-half years that the Provincial Bureau is fully staffed up. This sounds like a good news story. Can you tell us when -- at what point the Provincial Bureau became fully staffed after those deficiencies?

- MS. STEVENS: I would say within the last few months, probably within the last two months, we've got a full complement of human resources.
- Q Okay. Are you able to tell us what led to that full complement after some time of absences or vacancies?
- MS. STEVENS: Well, a lot of -- a lot of different things, but I won't go into the details of the mechanics of our administration. But one of the issues that is tough for us is that we're not -- because we're not tactical and we're not tied to investigations, we're also not necessarily the most interesting place for analysts to work, so we are competing with everyone else who -- for those analytical resources in the province, so it's very hard for us to attract and retain the strategic analysts here. It's very difficult work and it's not generally a lot of analysts' first choice.
- Q Thank you. And I wouldn't mind asking a few questions about the impact of those vacancies over -- capacity over the last couple of years, which we touched on briefly yesterday. I understand from the evidence yesterday that the Provincial Bureau's primary responsibility is the creation of the provincial threat assessment. Is that fair to say?
- MS. STEVENS: Correct, so our -- our main product every year is the provincial threat assessment, as well as getting a lot of data and information to CISC in support of the national threat assessment, so those are -- that's our main priority for the year, coming up with -- doing the analysis and coming up with a product. the impact of not having sufficient resources over the last couple of years is that we have not been able to wade into any sort of extra assessments or extra analysis on the criminal markets. When I first arrived here in 2018, we did have -- we did have at least I think a few trained analysts and experts -- subject matter

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- experts in cyber, and one was money laundering, and they were working on criminal market white papers. But that has sort of fallen by the wayside, as we just meet our basic needs to get the provincial threat assessment done and to meet the needs of CISC for their products, as well.
- Q And I think Mr. Wellwood yesterday mentioned there were two reports on money laundering, if I'm not mistaken, and relating to money sources, businesses and real estate, completed I think in 2018. Do I have that correct, Mr. Wellwood?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Money service businesses and tradebased money laundering, not real estate, Mr. McCleery.
- Q My mistake. And I think you indicated that with sufficient resources, the Provincial Bureau likely would have done follow-up reports on -- on those topics, but that wasn't possible because of the resource constraints?
- MR. WELLWOOD: We would have had an opportunity to recomplete or refresh the scan of the money laundering portfolio to assess threat within all potential available topic areas, which could have led to a refresh on one or both of the money service business or trade-based money laundering assessments, or the introduction of one or more new assessments should something else have been considered a higher threat.
- Q And, Inspector Wellwood, the past few years there's been significant public and media attention on money laundering-related issues in this province, particularly around casinos and real estate. Are those topics that might have been explored if the resources were in place to to do it?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I'm not able to comment on -- on what could have been, considering that the scans themselves weren't completed and the assessments weren't done.
- Q So is it fair to say that in the past -- since those two reports were completed over the past two, two-and-a-half years, the Provincial Bureau, aside from what may have been in the provincial threat assessment, hasn't been in a position to really contribute much of anything to law enforcement's efforts to combat money laundering?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Significant requirements for the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- provincial threat assessment, as Inspector Stevens has stated, has consumed our complement of resources, and that has been the main focus. Any material regarding money laundering would have originated as a part of that provincial threat assessment process.
- Q Okay. Are you able to give us an idea of, in the past two-and-a-half years, to what extent the provincial threat assessment did deal with the subject of money laundering?
- MR. WELLWOOD: As part of our work within the provincial threat assessment, looking at involvement of organized crime groups or individual actors regarding criminal markets, as well as any additional information or intelligence that would have been submitted by any of our partner agencies, that would be the extent to which I -- I'm not able to comment on specifics regarding what volume that is compared to other criminal markets, nor any of the particular involvement regarding the organized crime groups or individual criminal
- MR. Mactbery: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I believe I've completed my questions for this panel, and I think we're prepared to move to participants' questions.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr.

 McCleery. Now, I do have a list of participants'
 questions, and -- I'm sorry -- the participants
 seeking to ask questions, and an estimate of the
 time that they have requested and been allotted.
 I guess two points. One is, because there are
 quite a few participants who wish to ask
 questions of this panel, I would -- I do ask that
 each participant try to finish within the time
 that they've been allotted. And secondly, just
 as a corollary to that, that each participant
 take care not to duplicate what other
 participants are asking about.

Now, I do have a list. Again, from time to time, my list becomes outdated, but I believe the first participant who wishes to ask questions of the panel is Catherine George, on behalf of the Law Society of B.C.

MS. GEORGE: Thank you, Commissioner, but having heard the evidence presented by the panel over the last two days, we have no questions.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Usher, Counsel for the Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Ms. George.
 The second participant wishing to ask questions
 of the panel that I have on my list is Mr. Usher,
 on behalf of the Society of Notaries Public of
 British Columbia.
- MR. USHER: Thank you, Commissioner. Good morning.

 If I can just draw the panel's attention to para

 -- page 11 of the Public Report on Organized

 Crime 2019 document that's been put as exhibit in this.

EXAMINATION BY MR. USHER:

- Q I just wanted to drill down a bit on this.
 There's a statement that -- when you're talking
 about money laundering techniques, just a quote
 from here, it says:
 - ... purchasing real estate with hundreds of thousands of dollars in cash, using mortgage brokers and lawyers.

There's some things, there's some confusion, but what -- does "cash" in this case mean the literal use of currency or does it mean purchasing real estate with no mortgage? Can you clarify that?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Excuse me, Mr. Usher. The last part of your question, the volume fell off.
- Sorry. I'm just trying to clarify the use of the word "cash" in that paragraph on page 11 of your report where you say:
 - ... hundreds of thousands of dollars in cash, using mortgage brokers and lawyers.

Does that mean -- I'm trying to understand what that suggests. Does that literally mean the people showing up with the proverbial hockey bag of cash to mortgage broker and lawyer offices? Is that what you're getting at?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Beyond what the wording of the report, I don't have the specific detail that would answer the question that you're posing.
- Q Okay, thank you. Your reports and your evidence has detailed, of course, remarkable and extensive criminal activity. Certainly the media today have picked up on your comments from yesterday.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Usher, Counsel for the Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

What I don't see -- and maybe you can direct us to where it might be found -- is such detailed evidence, detailed information about prosecutions and convictions. You've spent an awful lot of time clarifying what seems like a vast amount of criminal enterprise. What can you tell us about turning that information into prosecutions and convictions?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Mr. Usher, I would refer back to my previous testimony where I explained the role of criminal intelligence is, and the role of CISC, is to inform and advise Canadian law enforcement. However, as I've testified, with regards to operations, that is an area that's outside of -- of the realm or outside of the purview of CISC. I fully anticipate that there will be other law enforcement witnesses appearing before the Commission that would be better positioned to speak with regards to enforcement activities.
- Q Thank you. And what -- can you help assist clarify -- and you may have covered this -- what is -- in your view, what would be success for CISC? It sounds like success is not, for example, increased prosecution and conviction. But you must have some definition of success as a word that this has gone well. In your view, what is your -- how do you measure the success of your efforts?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, it's measured in many ways.

 However, I'll refer back to the main purpose of
 CISC being to inform and advise on serious and
 organized crime. And so from my perspective, the
 success of CISC relates to the overarching
 strength -- once again, from my perspective -
 O Mm-hmm.
- MR. GILCHRIST: -- is the makeup, the composition of the membership, and the fact that it draws from three levels of law enforcement and -- and other relevant stakeholders, as we described -- as was described in the testimony yesterday. It's that collective, cooperative and collaborative effort.

Now, in more practical terms, what do I see as success for CISC? It's the assessments that we produce. It's the -- I spoke yesterday about the assessments that we produce for law enforcement, protected documents, to advise and inform on the threats posed by serious and

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Usher, Counsel for the Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

organized crime. And I see great success in our ability to enhance the knowledge of Canadian law enforcement relative to the threats posed by serious and organized crime.

I see that -- in particular, I've referred to the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime as -- as one of the committees on which I -- I sit, one of the committees to which CISC supports. And it is -- it provides, on an ongoing basis, a very valuable venue to ensure that the intelligence that's being produced by CISC is being shared with the law enforcement -- operational aspects of law enforcement from across the country. And so, from my perspective, when I look at success, it's the fact that CISC produces timely, comprehensive assessments which are then shared with the operational aspects of Canadian law enforcement.

- Q And given that, what can you tell us about the value, then, that's brought to the operational side of things? Can you comment on that any way? You say success is your provision of information. Can you give any -- from the operational people -- what -- how has it contributed to their success?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, what I would refer to there, Mr. Usher, is I spoke yesterday about the national threat assessment and how that specifically -- and once again, underlining that is a protected document. However, I did explain that it is an assessment that focuses on organized crime groups. So, from that perspective, what we provide the operational aspects of Canadian policing is a comprehensive assessment on specific organized crime groups and, as well, specific organized crime networks which -- which may extend beyond an individual organized crime group.

So it -- and then when I -- that's one aspect. The other aspect I would draw your attention to is my testimony yesterday when I referred to the National Criminal Intelligence Estimates, which are generally focused towards the criminal markets. Because there are two -- two of the important attributes with regards to organized crime is to be concerned and be informed, appropriately informed, on specific

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

organized crime groups and their activities. The other aspect is the actual criminal market. So it's to step back and take more of a strategic holistic look on various thematic criminal markets.

And so from a success perspective, we have successfully enhanced the operational components, the operational parts of Canadian law enforcement in their knowledge of the criminal markets, how those interrelate across provinces. As well, much like the testimony that I provided here with regards to international implications, some of --some of the information that we are able to bring to the Canadian law enforcement operations is beyond what they would have access to within their individual police service, and so we are able to inform them of the bigger picture that would draw information from across our CISC membership.

Once again, enhancing the level of knowledge and comprehension of the criminal marketplaces, in cooperation with focusing specifically on the organized crime groups for the purpose of identifying the organized crime groups that pose the greatest threat is the type of successful assistance, from my perspective, that CISC provides to Canadian law enforcement operation.

MR. USHER: That's all my questions. Thank you.

MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Usher. Now, on behalf of the British Columbia Lottery Corporation, Mr. Smart.

MR. SMART: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SMART:

Q I'd like to start with Inspector Stevens.
Yesterday, Inspector, you discussed that the B.C.
Bureau of Criminal Intelligence Service is -- and
you used the word "acutely short of analytical
staff," and you didn't have the resources to
undertake research on money laundering in areas
such as casinos, real estate or cryptocurrency.
And today, you expanded upon that and you said
that you need the right people in the room, with
the right qualifications. Why don't you have the
right people in the room, with the right

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- qualifications? Can you -- can you amplify that for us?
- MS. STEVENS: I think I've touched a bit on the difficulty -- the difficulty that we have attracting and retaining --
- O = Mm hmm.
- MS. STEVENS: -- because of the nature of the work. We have, over the last couple of years, put fanouts to the entire province posting positions.
- Q = Mm-hmm.
- MS. STEVENS: I'm not going to lie, part of the issue is that our -- where we're located has no parking, and a lot of people don't want to come and work somewhere where they can't park and come into work. It's just a very practical barrier. The nature of the work isn't that interesting. And I -- I don't want to speak out of turn about a shortage of -- generalized shortage of analysts in the province or in the country, but that's certainly what the perception is. It feels like everyone is fighting for the same resources. Often, when we do get resources here and we train them -- we train them through training courses and conferences, and also with our two analytical supervisors -- they don't stay very long. they've got the experience, they will find another more exciting job or a job that pays better or is a better location for them. So we have a lot of trouble attracting and retaining the resources. And my perception is that there is just a shortage of those resources out there to acquire.
 - Just to follow up on that. Is it a question, then, of salary? You need to offer an enhanced salary to attract the people that you want, and to keep them?
- MS. STEVENS: I don't believe it's salary. And there are better people out there to answer the questions about the analytical -- the process of becoming an analyst. It's actually quite rigorous. There's a lot of schooling involved. There's testing, testing processes. So there are actually a lot of developmental resources which we use who would like to become analysts in the future, but they haven't met the criteria testing-wise to become full analysts, and cannot apply for some of the postings that are out

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- there. But I'm not the right person to answer those specific questions about that -- that program.
- Q Do you -- do you think your -- the B.C. Bureau could assist in addressing the concerns we have with money laundering in this province, if it had the -- if it had the right people and the right resources?
- MS. STEVENS: Absolutely, we could assist in some capacity. We definitely would need to have the right resources and more resources. We would need to have support. We would need to have exposure. Definitely, we are unique in the province that we sort of have tentacles into all of the law enforcement agencies, and right up nationally through CISC, and have that support as well. So, you know, certainly that is something to think about.
- Q Well, I don't mean to put you on the spot, because I didn't anticipate asking you this question, but the Commissioner is going to be making recommendations. Is there any recommendation you would ask him to consider on behalf of the B.C. Bureau?
- MS. STEVENS: Certainly. You know, while you collect all the information and evidence, give a good assessment to where you think we fit in the province and what would be the best use of the bureau. You know, these are conversations that I have often with Superintendent Rob Gilchrist, and also my bosses, and the Executive Committee that I report to, so certainly in your assessments and the information you gather, you know, if there's a better place for us to be placed, we're certainly open to it. Keep in mind that money laundering is just one of our portfolios under our umbrella, and that we have a duty to also report on all of the other criminal markets.
- Q All right. Thank you. I'll come back then to the Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, please. Chief Superintendent, you've made it clear, you're not an expert in organized -- I'm sorry -- you are an expert on organized crime, but not money laundering in general. You've said that your service has limited resources. How do you decide where to focus your resources?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Through prioritization efforts, Mr.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

Smart.

Q Yes.

MR. GILCHRIST: And prioritization is something that - and once again, I'll step back here for a
 moment and my comments are specifically with
 regards to CISC Central Bureau, because, as I've
 mentioned earlier, the operational components of
 Canadian law enforcement are outside of my
 purview. But with regards to CISC and our
 national products that are produced,
 prioritization is the tool that we use. And
 prioritization essentially is extensive
 consultations with our broad membership to
 identify the areas that are of greatest concern
 for Canadian law enforcement, our greatest
 concern as impacting Canadians, and so that the
 consultation is ongoing.

I've testified earlier of how CISC has a governance body, referred to as the National Executive Committee, comprised of approximately 26 major police services, representatives from major police services across Canada. And that's our -- our governance body that provides our strategic direction and oversight. And so the collective expertise, which is members around the National Executive Committee -- as I've testified yesterday, the Chair is the Commissioner of the RCMP, the Vice Chair is the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police. The other participants would be at the level of Chief of Police or Commanding Officer, depending on which agency they are from.

And so there is a collective expertise of what is impacting our respective jurisdictions, through the lens of municipal, provincial and federal. And so CISC receives ongoing guidance from the National Executive Committee as to, you know, what are the issues that -- towards which we should focus our finite resources to ensure that we're providing the greatest value to the Canadian law enforcement community.

In addition to the NEC in its entirety, there is a subcommittee called the Permanent Working Group, which is a subcommittee comprised of several representatives from the National Executive Committee. It's a little smaller committee, and so it enables regular check-ins

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

with that committee on various issues. And one of the activities that I undertake with the Permanent Working Group is a review of where our focus is at, issues that potentially could be on the horizon, and seek guidance, once again, as a sub-governance body.

In addition to prioritization at that governance level, I've spoken about the Supervisory Committee, being comprised of all the 10 bureau directors and myself. Those are really important discussions, because they allow CISC, they allow me, as the Director General of CISC, to be aware of what's happening out in the provinces for which those bureau directors are responsible for the -- for the provincial intelligence bureau.

And the reality is, is that certain types of criminality impact certain areas of the country more than others. And so what we're looking at, CISC is looking for those issues which span across several jurisdictions, several provinces, and which would be appropriate for us to focus our attention towards.

In addition to the Supervisory Committee, I've testified about my involvement with the CIROC Committee, Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime, and specifically referenced the operational nature of the participants in that committee. They're another body to which I consult regularly to, once again, find out from senior executive operational commanders as to what are the crime issues in their communities and in their provinces that are impacting them, and how can CISC products be of assistance.

And in addition to those formal committees that I've referred to, I do have regular ongoing individual dialogue with members of various police services at a variety of levels to -- to regularly get input.

If I could refer to the Public Report on Organized Crime on page 8, it may be helpful to provide -- or to refer to Figure 6 on that page. And this figure actually is in the Public Report on Organized Crime because it speaks to the interconnectivity of high-level threat networks and priority issues. However, I think it's helpful, as a diagram, to explain how

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

prioritization occurs, or at least the results of significant consultation and prioritization.

You'll see in that diagram that some of the key criminal markets are referred to. So, if I start at the top of the diagram, referring to outlaw motorcycle gangs, then if I move to the right, money laundering service providers. -- continuing on the right side, precursor chemicals and synthetic drugs, specifically with regards to importation and production. Then if I refer to cocaine, once again, as a criminal market, that's specifically with regards to importation. If I were to continue to the left, would be traditional organized crime. And then you'll see off to the right two other areas that have been flagged, identified as significant issues for the Canadian law enforcement community, one being illegal online gaming, and the other being violent street gangs. And so I would just complete my reference to that figure. You'll notice the box in the centre. That really is where CISC's value is and that's -- that's where our focus is, is largely placed on these thematics and focused on identifying those national high-level threats and key facilitators.

So I recognize that's a long answer, Mr. Smart, but I -- I did want to emphasize the ongoing prioritization efforts. There are significant energies invested into that to ensure that we keep abreast of what the needs of the Canadian law enforcement community are and adjust our products accordingly.

- Q All right. Money laundering, per se, isn't one of those priorities, is it?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Money laundering is reflected in twofold. One would be -- with regards to this diagram, one would be in relation to money laundering service providers, and as I testified, within the overarching money laundering, certainly money laundering service providers are a significant concern for Canadian law enforcement and significant concern for CISC. And then the other aspect, Mr. Smart, that I would refer to is the -- each of those areas, the thematic areas, there -- or at least the majority of them -- there would be money laundering aspects within.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- Q But the answer is, no, we don't focus just on money laundering, per se? It's incidental, other than they money service launder organized crime groups?
- MR. GILCHRIST: As I've testified yesterday, CISC looks at serious and organized crime in its totality, and money laundering is -- is one of several attributes that we -- that we look -- that we assess.
- Q Okay. Let me come back to Mr. Usher's point to you, and I -- I just want to follow up on that. Yesterday, you reviewed with us -- let me start with this. Money laundering obviously is the proceeds of crime. The more we can reduce crime, the less money laundering that's going to occur. Do you agree with that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Sorry, I'll have you repeat the question.
- Yes. Money -- money laundering results from the proceeds of crime, and the more we can reduce the predicate underlying crimes, the more we're going to reduce money laundering. One seems to fold logically from the other.
- MR. GILCHRIST: It's a broad statement. O Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: My sense is that although accurate at a broad level, my sense is that the details are important, as well.
- Yes. But don't you agree that law enforcement and society needs to focus not just on the proceeds of crime that are being laundered, and in this case, in British Columbia, but also at stopping or at least reducing the crimes that generate those proceeds?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The perspective that I would have on that there is that the focus of where law enforcement efforts are placed is outside of my purview. I can certainly explain, and I believe I have testified as to where CISC's focus is.

 O Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: I believe your question is taking me into the area of enforcement tactics and where those enforcement efforts should be, or could be placed against, and quite respectfully, I must indicate that that's outside of my purview, the enforcement actions that are taken.
- Q But you've got 31 years as a member of the RCMP.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- MR. GILCHRIST: I do have 31 years as a member of the RCMP, doing a variety of functions within the RCMP, Mr. Smart, but one of the things that -that I have always believed throughout my career is it's important that I speak about the areas that are within my purview. And that's an approach that I have followed throughout my career, largely because it's only the areas that are within my purview that I can speak to authoritatively and that I can speak to comprehensively. If I start speaking about topics outside of my purview, I run the risk of giving in to speculation or me not knowing all of the information, and the last thing I want to do is mislead the Commission with only knowing half of the story and, as a result, that's why I've respectfully kept my comments to within the criminal intelligence realm.
- Q Okay, fair enough. What you reviewed yesterday was quite disturbing, the number of organized crime groups in Canada and in British Columbia, the number of high-level organized crime groups, the huge spike in trafficking of fentanyl from those groups, the large increase in trafficking methamphetamines, the amount of importation and trafficking of cocaine. As I understand from the report, five of these high-level threat organized crime groups are importing up to a thousand kilos of cocaine into Canada every month. I think I'm accurate on that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Off the top of my head, sir, I'd have to have you refer to me which page you're referring to. Your general description that you've provided aligns with my recollection, however, specific to that a thousand kilos figure that you've provided, I would respectfully need to be refer --[indiscernible break in recording].
- Q Page 4.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Page 4.
- Q Of your 2019 report.
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct, sir. Your statement is correct. It properly describes the first paragraph on that page, where:

Five HLTs are involved in some of the largest cocaine importing networks in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

Canada, which leverage ties to Mexican and Colombian drug trafficking organizations, such as the Sinaloa Cartel, to import up to 1000 kilograms of cocaine per month.

- Q Yeah, and cocaine sells generally at about 50 to \$65,000 a kilo in Canada?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, sir, throughout my experience in the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, I -- I have worked in drug investigations, however, it's -- it's not something that I have current knowledge of the specific amounts. Those amounts do fluctuate, the dollar values, both at the kilo level and street level. So, unfortunately, I'm going to have to defer to other experts that would be able to provide an accurate and authoritative confirmation on the -- on the current kilo level assets.
- Q Page 18 of the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada report that you referred to yesterday, second paragraph -- I'm sorry -- yes, the second paragraph, do you see:

Even though the Canadian demand for cocaine has increased, the stability of the domestic price at the kilogram level, between \$50,000 and \$60,000 [sic], and at the street level, around \$100 per gram, suggests that domestic OCGs are continuing to export cocaine to more lucrative markets.

Do you see that number there?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I do, with regards to the range of 50 to \$65,000.
- Yes. So if we're getting up to a thousand kilos of cocaine into Canada every month, from just five of the high-level organized crime groups, and the cocaine selling at those figures 50 to \$65,000 a kilo, my arithmetic takes me that they're generating 50 to 60 -- just those five groups are generating 50 to \$65 million every month in Canada from the sale of cocaine. Do you disagree with that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, I haven't done the arithmetic, but no, I have no reason to disagree with your -- with your arithmetic, Mr. Smart.
- Q Yes, and then on top of that, we've got -- and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- we're just talking about drugs. We've got sell -- these high-level organized crime groups are involved in selling fentanyl, methamphetamines and other drugs as well, aren't they?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, that's correct. As I testified, many of the organized crime groups are polydrug in their -- in their focus.
- Q Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: I mean, they would be trafficking more than one illicit drug.
- Q So let me come back to I think what Mr. Usher was asking you about, is it -- it appears from the report that drug importation and trafficking in Canada, as well as exportation out of Canada, has increased dramatically in recent years. Do you agree with that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Some of the markets have increased.
 The illicit drug report goes into that
 specifically by market, but you[indiscernible break in recording] -0 Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: -- is correct in that some of the illicit drug markets have -- have increased, and some of those markets have seen significant To encapsulate that, looking at page 1 increase. of the National Criminal Intelligence Estimate on Illicit Drugs, the diagram noting that cocaine, over a -- once again, looking at the assessed organized crime group involvement over a period of 2015 to 2018, there was a five percent increase, approximately five percent increase in the number of organized crime groups who were assessed with being involved in cocaine. Methamphetamine drug market, as I referred to in my testimony yesterday, a significant increase there of 28 percent. And then fentanyl and its analogues, a very high level of increase percentage-wise with regards to it having been a 1500 percent increase. And the -- keeping in mind that although those datapoints are provided by drug market, as -- as I've testified, many of the organized crime groups would be involved in multiple drug markets.
- Q So the point is, this generates proceeds of crime, doesn't it?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The illicit drug market, as I testified yesterday, is largely a cash-based

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

business, and does generate significant criminal proceeds.

- So the answer to my question is yes?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I --
- Q Okay.
 - MR. GILCHRIST: -- believe that was my response, Mr. Smart, yes.
 - Q So, really, are you able to assist, given that we're dealing with money that's being laundered from -- as the proceeds of crime, given the efforts that your organization has made, why is this -- why do we have this, what I suggest is an increase in crime, drug crimes, in this country? Are you able to -- is that beyond your expertise?
 - MR. GILCHRIST: I -- I believe, for a comprehensive and a thorough response as to why an increase in -- particularly if you're talking drug -- drug use in certain markets, that would be beyond my area of expertise. However, in general --
 - Q Well, then I won't ask -- I won't ask you. If you feel you're outside your comfort zone, then I won't ask you to answer that.
 - MR. GILCHRIST: Well, what I was going to respond, Mr. Smart, is simply that organized crime is very profit-driven and there absolutely is significant profit margins in the illicit drug markets, and as a result of that, the illicit drug markets are a significant portion of the criminal activities of organized crime groups in Canada.

I believe yesterday I provided a statistic on that as to the number of organized crime groups that are involved in the illicit drug markets. However, it suffices to say that it is one of the main revenue generators for organized crime is definitely the criminal market of illicit drugs.

Q Okay. I just have two short areas to ask you about, just take me a couple of minutes. One of them is, you told the Commission that 50 percent of the 176 organized crime groups involved in money laundering have international links. You said that this morning. And 37 percent cross borders in Canada. So do you agree that in terms of looking at the problem in British Columbia, that it very much requires a national and international effort to deal with money -- with organized crime groups and money laundering?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- MR. GILCHRIST: The statistics that I provided this morning on both the domestic and international scope do speak to the interprovincial, intraprovincial and national and international scope of the organized crime activities.

 O Mm-hmm.
- MR. GILCHRIST: The -- when we -- when I referred to the domestic statistics, it really speaks to the fact that the proceeds of crime extend beyond any individual policing jurisdiction. As I testified, the proceeds of crime typically cross multiple police jurisdictions, with almost 20 percent of the groups having interprovincial linkages. And then, as you've indicated, my testimony earlier this morning was with regards to the international scope and 50 percent of the groups involved in money laundering having international linkages. So, the purpose of providing that detail on the geographic scope is to provide insight into how organized crime groups are operating across jurisdictions, across -- and when I refer to jurisdictions, they're crossing municipal boundaries, crossing provincial boundaries, and they cross national borders, as well.
 - The last thing I wanted to ask you, in your report at page 12, you refer to illegal online gaming.
- MR. GILCHRIST: This is the Public Report on Organized Crime, sir?
- Q Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes.
- Q And the third paragraph, you refer to the Canadian Gaming Association that states:

Canadians are estimated to wager four billion dollars CAD annually through offshore online sportsbooks. When this figure is compared to the \$5 [sic] million CAD wagered annually through legal provincial sports lotteries, it is evident that OCGs are capitalizing on a market service in high demand.

As I read that, it looks like there is eight times more betting on offshore offline sportsbooks than in Canada?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Smart, Counsel for the B.C. Lottery Corporation

- MR. GILCHRIST: Those statistics are provided in the Public Report on Organized Crime --
- O Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: -- to try to explain the market demand for gaming.
- O Yes.
 - MR. GILCHRIST: And so through our analysis of illegal online gaming, it was felt that that was one component, that to properly understand the demand for this type of activity, that those statistics would enhance the understanding of that. In essence, to provide some context.
 - Q Has there been any research done by your organization into not illegal gaming online, but illegal gaming that isn't online, that is, such things as underground casinos and other betting forums that are illegal that are happening in this country?
 - MR. GILCHRIST: With regards to CISC and national products $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$
 - O Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: -- there's been no recent assessments that focus on -- specifically on those topics. It is possible that those activities are considered as part of the overall activities that a criminal organization is involved in, but as far as drilling down on those specific areas, there has not been, at least in recent history that I'm aware of, a national assessment on those components.
- Q Thank you. I have more than used up my time, so I will stop. Thank you, Chief Superintendent.
- A Thank you, Mr. Smart.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Smart. I think what we'll do is take a 15-minute adjournment now, and then we'll recommence with Mr. Skwarok on behalf of the Great Canadian -- Great Canadian -- sorry -- GCGC. Thank you.
- THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned for a 15-minute recess, until 11:19 a.m. Please mute your mike and turn off your video. Thank you.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is now resumed.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness, recalled.

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness, recalled.

RYLAND WELLWOOD, witness, recalled.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. And Mr. Skwarok, I'm sorry I fumbled your client's name before the break. Great Canadian Gaming Corporation. Thank you.

MR. SKWAROK: Thank you, sir.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SKWAROK:

Q This is questions, a series of questions addressed to the entire panel, but primarily to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist. As the Commissioner introduced me, my name is Mark Skwarok. I am one of the lawyers representing Great Canadian Gaming Corporation, which owns a number of casinos, including River Rock.

A couple of general introductory questions, if I may. I anticipate that the evidence that will be tendered in this hearing is that River Rock's surveillance team received two awards from the Royal Canadian Mounted Police for outstanding contributions to police inform -- police investigations. One award was for continued professional and timely assistance with investigations and the other was for outstanding assistance conducting surveillance reviews for members beyond the scope of its regular duties.

Deputy Superintendent, do you have any knowledge of these awards?

MR. GILCHRIST: Unfortunately, sir, no, I do not have any knowledge of those -- of those awards.

Q All right. Are you aware, sir, that since 2012, which is when these awards were issued, Great Canadian has been recognized by the RCMP for its consistently high degree of cooperation and for proactively providing information on its own

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

- initiative into matters such as loan sharking, money laundering, E-Pirate investigation, organized gang activities and other crimes? Are you aware of that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Unfortunately, sir, I'm not aware of that information. I would note that the products that CISC produces are national products, and therefore my knowledge of specific partnerships or specific, in this case, awards that may be in place in the provinces, it's not something that I would necessarily have visibility on.
- Q I understand, sir. I wasn't suggesting you should know, I was just asking if you did. If I might ask you, Inspector Stevens, do you have any sense of Great Canadian Gaming Corporation's reputation for cooperating with VPD investigations?
- MS. STEVENS: I am not aware of anything. That is not something that's part of my role here and my responsibility as the bureau director.
- Q But I could take it from that, you have no reason to believe that Great Canadian has been anything other than completely cooperative, correct?
- MS. STEVENS: I have no information either way.
- Thank you. If I could, please, go to the Public Report on Organized Crime in Canada, which is Exhibit 3, and hopefully the panel will have that document in front of them, because I'm going to be making several references. Mr. Wellwood, my first question is addressed to you. You testified that there's no comprehensive review of money laundering in casinos in British Columbia. Do I have that right?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I believe I testified that CISBC/Yukon had not completed a specific assessment regarding money laundering and casinos and gaming for B.C.
- Q Thank you. And, Inspector Stevens, do you agree with that assertion?
- MS. STEVENS: Yes.
- Q And, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, do you agree that there have been no such comprehensive reviews of activities, money laundering activities in casinos?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Sir, from a CISC national perspective, as I've testified, we collect information in relation to serious and organized crime, but for national products. With regards to whether there

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

- are other assessments that have been completed specifically within the Province of British Columbia, unfortunately, I would not have visibility on that type of reporting, whether it's in British Columbia or any other province.
- Q Thank you. Let me go to, if I may, page 3 of this public report. At the bottom there is a description of national high-level threats, and again, a reference to 14 organized national crime groups that have been identified as high-level threats. Right? Do you see that, sir?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I do.
- Q And then if you go over to the next page, which is page 4, there's a pie chart at the top, and I understand that this pie chart is intended to indicate how much of the criminal groups' activities are devoted to particular types of criminal endeavours; is that right?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The Figure 2 is to provide a -- as indicated in the report, is a proportional overview of the criminal activities and the scope of the organized crime groups, and speaking of two elements, one being the criminal activities, the other being the geographic scope or geographic reach.
- Q Right. Just looking at the top pie chart, on the left-hand quadrant, if you will, there is the reference to gaming. Do you see that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes.
- And that seems to suggest roughly 20 percent of these high-level threat organized crime groups are involved in gaming in some description.

 Would you agree?
- MR. GILCHRIST: It doesn't give me an exact percentage, however, your estimate of 20 percent does make sense to me, yes.
- Q Just doing a rough and tumble look at the proportion of the size of the wedge for gaming to the overall pie, that's 20 percent, roughly. You'll agree with me, though, that in the context of looking at gaming and criminal activity, that the primary concern is illegal gaming, not gaming in casinos; isn't that right?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The markets that we look at is illegal criminal activities, and so regardless of where it's occurring, the -- if I can use the term geographic location or the venue in which the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

criminal activity is occurring is -- is only one criteria, but that's not the -- the overarching criteria is the illegal nature of -- of activities. So -- so, for example, if it is legal gaming -- if it is legal activities within the area of gaming, that would not be a concern for CISC. This specifically is with regards to illegal activities in -- in the area.

- Q All right. So I can infer from that that the focus of concerns of the CISC and the Integrated Threat Assessment Team is on illegal gambling, not on gambling through casinos; is that right?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, once again, I'll just clarify that our focus is on the illegal activities. I'm really not able to provide any -- any clarification with regards to the location or the venue in which illegal activities may occur. But I can clarify that absolutely our focus is on the illegal criminal activities. Whether that is occurring within a casino or whether that is occurring in a completely different type of venue.
- Q All right. Well, let me explore that a bit with you, if I may. Go to the bottom of page 3, please. And this is the preface to the pie chart. At the bottom of the page, the second sentence starting off with the word "They", and that's the reference to these HLT criminal groups. "They" -- omit the next words:

... engage in multiple criminal markets, use violence to further their criminal business, and have a large number of criminal OCG association links.

Correct?

- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct.
- Q And so that's clearly a reference to non-casino types of gambling, right?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, this sentence by itself does not speak to venues of where activities would -- you know, may occur in. The sentence clearly describes the high-level threats, and it's an attempt to provide context to the reader as to what -- what attributes result in an organized crime group being viewed as a high-level threat, recognizing that that's not a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

concept that folks that aren't intricately involved in criminal intelligence may not be aware of. And so the purpose of this is to provide some context with regards to those 14 organized crime groups, explaining that typically they have interprovincial networks. If not always international connections, they engage in multiple criminal markets. They use -- excuse me -- use violence to further their criminal business and have a large number of criminal organized crime group association links. are, you know, many other aspects that would describe these 14 organized crime groups that are high-level threats. However, these are the ones that were deemed to provide that overview of a general description.

- Q With great respect, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, you didn't answer my question. Will you agree with me that this preface to the pie chart is dealing exclusively with illegal gambling?
- MR. GILCHRIST: This preface to the pie chart deals exclusively with illegal activities.
- Q Thank you.
- MR. GILCHRIST: I believe that answers your question with regards to illegal gambling.
- Yes, thank you. If my questions are unclear, please ask me to ask them better. Thank you.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.
- Q In fact, throughout this report, virtually all of the references are to illegal gambling. Would you agree with that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, given that our focus is on the illegal activities, yes. With regards when we refer to gambling, we would be referring to the illegal activities in relation to gambling.
- Q Right. In the context of illegal gaming, this report is not focusing on gaming at casinos, it's focusing on illegal gaming conducted outside of the premises of casinos by potentially organized criminal groups, correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, sir, at great risk of repeating myself here, I don't view that the report necessarily gets into the locations in which any of these criminal acts may be conducted, so I -- I don't believe that I can draw that conclusion that you're -- you're

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

suggesting, one way or the other.

- Q All right. Well, maybe I can take you through your report. And let me go firstly to page 9, and this is under the heading "Outlaw Motorcycle Gang Networks."
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes.
- Q Do you have that? And the fourth line down in that paragraph. "They" -- that being the motorcycle gangs:

... are involved in importing cocaine, money laundering, gaming...

-- et cetera. That's not a suggestion that they are gaming at casinos, is it? The focus of this paragraph is on offsite gaming, correct?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, within the context of this report, it is described as gaming. Again, it did not get down into the locations. It's largely in the context of outlaw motorcycle gangs. It would be referring primarily to illegal online gaming.
- Q Thank you. On page 10, the second paragraph:

These networks are involved...

And this is traditional organized crime networks. The second line refers to illegal gaming. You'll agree with me that that illegal gaming is a reference to non-casino gaming, correct?

- MR. GILCHRIST: In that context, the illegal gaming would refer to gaming that's not being conducted in accordance with prescribed legislation, so therefore when you're referring to gaming that occurs in casinos, and it does -- is subject to that legislation and is legal activity, then you are correct, this would be referring to other types of gaming.
- Q All right. The same thing with the very next sentence, there's a reference to illegal online gaming. Again, that's not casino gaming, correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Illegal online gaming would be referring to illegal online often referred to as sports bets, but it's not -- not exclusive to sports, but illegal online betting.
- Q And again, on the third paragraph from the bottom, starting with, "There's been a rise in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

violence, " the second sentence starts off with:

The violence in the Greater Toronto area may have been a result of a power struggle for territorial control and conflicts related to cocaine importing, illegal gaming...

- -- and a number of other things. You'll agree with me, sir, that again we're talking about non-casino gaming?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That there would refer to -- largely to non-casino -- non-casino gaming, once again, with regards to illegal online betting.
- Q I'm going to advise you, I've done a wee bit of arithmetic through the report, and I saw approximately 13 references to gaming that were all dealing with illegal gaming, and from that, assuming my arithmetic is somewhat accurate, the emphasis of the report is on illegal gambling, not on gaming in casinos, correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: As I have testified, the CISC assessment is focused on the illegal activities, including illegal gaming.
- Q Outside of the casino premises?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, as I've indicated, with regards to all of these acts -- and once again, I would clarify or I would -- I would further explain, I am not an expert in gaming. However, in a general sense, it refers to illegal gaming in general, and as we've discussed, that would not include legal gaming that's performed by businesses and provinces, depending on the jurisdiction.
- Q This is a question for both you, sir, and for Mr. Wellwood. You both testified that no effort was taken in this report to distinguish between legal and illegal gambling. Do I have your evidence right? First, Deputy Superintendent.
- MR. GILCHRIST: The -- I think the report in its entirety is clear that the focus of CISC is on the illegal criminal markets.
- Q All right. You'll agree with me that there are some very substantial differences between gaming casinos and gaming at institutions controlled by gangs, correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Absolutely.
- Q Casinos are subject to what's been referred to as

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

a dizzying array of regulation and report to a number of authorities who supervise their activities. Dr. German, in his report, suggested that there's arguably greater emphasis placed on compliance in the casino industry than in virtually any other financial industry. Firstly, do you have any reason to disagree with that suggestion?

- MR. GILCHRIST: What I would say with regards to other testimony, including the one that you've just referenced, is -- not being an expert in gaming, in illegal gaming, I do believe there'll be other witnesses that appear before this Commission that are better positioned to be able to provide you the -- the definitive explanations that you're looking for. With regards to the strategic type reporting that CISC produces, the -- given the strategic nature of our -- of our products, often the details that you may be referring to go down to a level that I just don't have visibility on.
- Q Fair enough. The last area of questioning I'd like to explore with you is on page 11, the bottom paragraph. And here is the only reference in the report that I see relating to conduct in casinos. If you look at the bottom paragraph, there's a discussion about how the casinos allegedly can be exploited. One of the areas are wealthy gamblers that have been provided proceeds of crime from members of the money laundering service provider network. There's no suggestion that entities such as Great Canadian would know the source of those deposits, right?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I believe that the question that you're posing goes beyond the -- the knowledge that I would have or the visibility on the information that's reported to us by our member agencies. I really am not positioned, without knowing those details, to be able to comment one way or the other with regards to it.
- Q And the last question I want to address relates to the third line where there's a reference of:

... deposits of casino cheques, representing cashed-out casino chips bought with proceeds of crime, into Canadian banks...

This seems to be a reference to the theory that

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Skwarok, Counsel for the Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

criminals or their associates can go into casinos, gamble for short periods of time with ill-gotten games, and then walk out with casino chips. What's the source of that -- that assertion?

MR. GILCHRIST: The information from -- that goes into the Public Report on Organized Crime comes through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process, which I've -- I have described in detail in my testimony, comes from a variety of sources, as I've testified, primarily from law enforcement, but not restricted to law enforcement.

With regards to this specific piece of

information and sourcing of that specific element, I just don't have that information.

I'm going to suggest to you, sir, that that assertion is completely false. I anticipate that the evidence that's going to be tendered in this hearing is as follows. If a patron buys chips with cash, and doesn't legitimately or substantially gamble, and then cashes out, they don't get cheques. They are paid out in the same denomination of bills as they bought in with. Do you have any reason to take issue with that anticipated evidence?

MR. GILCHRIST: It's a question that I really cannot agree or disagree with, simply because it's not an area that I have sufficient enough knowledge about. This is -- what's reflected in the report is a description of a technique that, through the very detailed analysis of our criminal intelligence analysts, was believed to be a money laundering technique, one of the techniques.

As I mentioned, criminal intelligence analysts receive information from a variety of our member agencies, as well as other partner groups. When producing analytical reports, statements or conclusions such as this are never based on only one piece of information. Analysts are trained to be looking for multiple pieces of information that would lead to a statement or a conclusion. But with regards to your statement that this will be found to be false or incorrect — I can't recall the exact word that you used — by this Commission, what I can indicate is that this report, you know, was produced, and including this statement, based on our good faith

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Mistry, Counsel for the B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

understanding of the situation.

- Q I have no doubt about that, sir. Those are my questions. Thank you very much.
- A Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Skwarok, and now Mr. Mistry, on behalf of the B.C. Government Employees Union.
- MR. MISTRY: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. My questions will be directed to the panel as a whole, but I invite anyone to respond.

EXAMINATION BY MR. MISTRY:

Q As the Commissioner mentioned, I'm here on behalf of the B.C. Government & Service Employees Union which, if I could offer some background very briefly, represents the vast majority, if not almost all of the B.C. Public Service, but also has a significant presence in the casino and financial services industry. And our perspective here is to -- really to just simply protect our members.

My first question will be -- and I appreciate that the panel's perspective is at a high level, however, I take it you wouldn't disagree that the practice of money laundering permeates throughout an organization and often engages frontline workers, either inadvertently or through some form of compulsion, perhaps by a criminal organization. I gather you wouldn't disagree?

- MR. GILCHRIST: When you refer to "organization" are you referring to your organization that you represent or are you referring to the criminal organization?
- Q Forgive me. I mean in the context of, say, a worker in a casino or a worker in the financial services industry or in any other part of the private sector, if that entity, whether it's a casino or financial services industry or other private sector entity, is used as a vehicle for money laundering, that often engages frontline workers within that particular industry. Whether it's a casino dealer or what have you.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you for clarifying. With regards to CISC at a national perspective, I'd have to defer to other law enforcement experts.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Mistry, Counsel for the B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

As I've testified, I'm not an expert in gaming. I actually have not worked myself — through my career trajectory, I have not worked on a gaming unit, enforcement unit, and so I just don't have that level of expertise or knowledge as to who actually, within the confines of a casino, would be exposed to this in one way or the other. So, I would respectfully defer that to other law enforcement who have expertise in that area, particularly on the enforcement side, would be my—my assumption that it would be a question better positioned for an operational enforcement unit that's been involved specifically with casinos.

- Q Before I follow up, would the other members of the panel have any thoughts, or do you concur with Mr. Gilchrist?
- MS. STEVENS: I concur. As I testified to yesterday, I'm not an expert in money laundering or the pillars it's built on. So, yes, best saved for someone who's in the enforcement and tactical area.
- Q Fair enough. What I do understand, though, is -is your respective areas of expertise do involve
 collecting of intelligence. Is that fair to say?
 I presume it is. The -- do you experience or can
 you relate any challenges in collecting
 intelligence from frontline workers who may have
 observed conduct -- and I'm not simply speaking
 of the casino industry, but financial services or
 other private sector industries -- collecting
 intelligence from frontline workers, are there
 any specific challenges in that, or again, is
 that something that might be left to another
 expert?
- MR. GILCHRIST: From a CISC national perspective, we wouldn't be gathering information from frontline workers involved in any sector, and so, you know, as a result of that, I don't see myself positioned to be able to provide any meaningful insight into your question. To be frank, if I was to start offering opinions on that, they would largely be speculative, which I know is not what the Commission is looking for.
- Q Of course, and I -- I do appreciate the fact that you've decided not to proceed in that way. Sorry, and just so I understand, it's -- you're

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Mistry, Counsel for the B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

not saying that it wouldn't be a useful piece of intelligence to reach out to frontline workers, but rather, that's not within the box of what your organization does? Is that -- is that correct?

MR. GILCHRIST: I think -- not I think -- information from a variety of sources is of assistance to law enforcement, and that information can certainly assist law enforcement in creating intelligence. And so, members of the general public are often the best positioned to be able to report suspicious activities to their law enforcement agency of jurisdiction who then in turn, depending on the nature of what's reported, that may fit into part of a larger picture. But that's a very general answer to what I think is a general question.

The point that I was wanting to make is that information that is important to the creation of intelligence is not solely information that's within the holdings of the police. There are many -- many non-police stakeholders, many other non-police government departments, many other sectors, which I've testified to earlier with regards to enhancing private and public sector partnerships. And to loop back to the fact that often information from the general public can be the missing piece that -- that starts to tell a That's a general answer. Given my previous comments, I cannot correlate that back to the world of casinos, but in a general sense, all sources of information are -- are helpful for law enforcement in assessing the criminal landscape.

- Q And just to clarify. When you say "general public," I assume you mean entities that are not either law enforcement or criminal enterprises. Because I would say that workers that are implicated within industries that are used as vehicles for money laundering would -- would probably have a different interest than the general public, but I -- perhaps I'm parsing a little bit too much here.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, going back to the -- to discussing the specific of casino employees, I just don't -- do not have that knowledge.
- Q Sure.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

- MR. GILCHRIST: I'll be starting to speculate if I took it down to that level.
- Q So, with respect to that -- and our evidence will be that in many cases frontline workers are simply fearful of coming forward, especially if they're not unionized. Has your entity contemplated information sharing with unions that are in industries that are often implicated in money laundering?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Not that I'm aware of at a -- once again, CISC national level.
- O Sure.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Whether that is done with local authorities, i.e. individual services in any specific jurisdiction, that -- whether it's been done or not, I simply wouldn't have visibility on that, and therefore unable to provide any clarity on that.
- Q That's fair enough. I thank the Commission for their time, and those are our questions. Thank you.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Mistry. Now, Ms. Mainville, on behalf of Robert Kroeker.
- MS. MAINVILLE: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

EXAMINATION BY MS. MAINVILLE:

- Q Just to follow up on Mr. Mistry's point right now relating to information sharing. You -- you spoke about three different categories of agencies with which CISC might share information. And I take it if a lottery corporation were to be one of those agencies, they would fall into what you describe as Category 3? Is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: In general terms, yes, given that -given that Category 1 is police and Category 2
 is an agency with a non-law enforcement role,
 if it were to be a member, the only other
 category would be Category 3.
- Q Who currently has no members, but -- but you'd be looking into potentially adding some?
- MR. GILCHRIST: With regards to Category 3 in not having members, I think you're referring to the CISBC office --
- Q Okay.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

- MR. GILCHRIST: -- bureau, and so I'll defer to my colleagues or panelists with regards to that question.
- Q Thank you.
- MS. STEVENS: That's correct. We don't have any Category 3 agencies at this time.
- Q And you may or may not know, Inspector, if I can follow up on that, that BCLC has an information sharing agreement with the RCMP since 2014. Do I take it, then -- would you receive that information or does that -- does that impact you at all or --
- MS. STEVENS: We may or may not receive that information. We are not a branch of the RCMP. We are an integrated unit that represents the entire province, so we don't automatically get what they have.
- Q Right.
- MS. STEVENS: I'm not aware specifically if we have received that specific piece of information.
- Q And if that information sharing agreement between the RCMP and BCLC is beneficial -- and going both ways, in terms of information going both ways between those two entities, I take it that's indicative of the fact that it -- it may well, in the same way, be beneficial to the CISC, if that were to happen?
- MS. STEVENS: I can't answer on behalf of CISC. I will --
- Q Oh, sorry. [overlapping speakers]
- MR. GILCHRIST: With regards to CISC, I acknowledge that our members have a wide range of partnerships across the country, you know, different types of stakeholders. If there's information that surfaces through those partnerships that assists in the assessment of serious and organized crime, then that would be a positive outcome.

Having said that, that's a very broad answer, given that I do not have specific visibility with regards to the partnership that you've referred to.

- Q Thank you, and I'm sorry, I also meant with the CISBC. Would that also apply?
- MS. STEVENS: Sorry, can you ask the question again?
- Q Well, simply that -- would CISBC consider the prospect of an information sharing agreement with

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

- a lottery corporation and would that be of any benefit?
- MS. STEVENS: We love all the information we can get. We are just a sponge. But I see that Ryland has unmuted, so I suspect he has something to wade in on.
- MR. WELLWOOD: I would agree with Inspector Stevens' comments that -- pertaining to criminal activity, if there was information to be shared through that information sharing agreement that could be provided to us, it potentially could be of benefit.
- Q Thank you. Now, I believe I'll be returning to you, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist. In terms of assessing what offences are taking place, what typologies are taking place, given that much of CISC's information is gathered from police sources, and in particular, police investigations, I take it you'd agree, it's not a complete picture of what is in fact happening on the ground? Is that fair?
- MR. GILCHRIST: We gather from a variety of sources as part of our collection efforts, as I've testified to. Primarily it's from police forces, given the topic that we assess being serious and organized crime. However, we do draw information from quite a broad cross-section of organizations.

For example -- and as my previous testimony relates to engaging with other non-police departments, I've provided testimony naming a few of those departments and how their information is helpful for our assessments. As well, there would be information that's publicly available that we would draw from. There would be research, academic research, NGOs. Once again, depending on the topic that we're assessing, if there's a significant report that's produced and that our analysts become aware of, through whatever means, then that would be part of their analysis for their considerations.

Q But given that a lot of the information, as you indicated, primarily comes from police, I take it that information would be influenced by what is being reported to police and -- and where police decide to focus their resources on? So, where the police chooses to look and what they choose to investigate? Is that fair?

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

- MR. GILCHRIST: If I understand the question correctly, I'd refer back to my earlier testimony with regards to the prioritization efforts that are quite extensive in consulting a very broad base in order to ensure that CISC has an awareness of what the issues are impacting law enforcement in jurisdictions across the country, and prioritizing our ability to support our assessment products along those lines.
- But once you prioritize, that will lead to investigations in respect of certain particular types of offences or -- or in any given jurisdiction or -- or sector. In light of that fact, would -- would there not be a potential, at least to a certain extent, of some sort of confirmation bias or sort of a closed loop feedback bias so -- so that if police sources are relying on CISC's reports to decide where to focus their resources, and they -- they then do that and report back on what they're seeing and -- and what they're -- on the areas that they're investigating, that will be more visible to the CISC, and so maybe confirmatory in a way?
- MR. GILCHRIST: With regards to confirmation bias, your question sounds like a finding that -- that the audience of our products -- you know, that would be -- that would be a perception or a decision or a finding that a reader of our reports would -- would consider in evaluating how much strength that they -- that they assign to From a CISC perspective, as I've our products. testified, the information is drawn from a wide number of sources. I've spoken about the Integrated Threat Assessment Process in great detail to demonstrate that there is a structure to what we collect. The Integrated Threat Assessment Process Working Group, I've described that and the collective expertise within that working group, to continually refine our products.

So, my answer to your question would be that that certainly is not our intention or our goal to have any sort of confirmation bias. I have testified to some of the limitations to our -- our reporting, and I believe that those comments appropriately lay out the limitations that -- that CISC acknowledges with regards to its

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

reporting. I do not agree, from a CISC perspective, that our products are going to be suffering from confirmation bias. But once again, that's -- as the producers of that report, or of this reporting, that certainly is not our perspective.

- Q Well, and -- yes, you've certainly fairly acknowledged some of the limitations. But let's -- let's apply it to money laundering, for instance. If you rely primarily -- and I -- I understand that you have some visibility beyond the -- the police information that you receive, and you do consult other sources, or have other sources of information, but given that you primarily rely on police information, if the police says, okay, most cases of money laundering occur in sector X, then your analysis will show that most cases of money laundering are in that sector because the investigations will be focused on that sector. Would that, at least to a certain extent, be the case? That the more information -- the more you investigate a particular sector, the more information you have about it, and -- and that will feed back into what your assessment of the risks are?
- MR. GILCHRIST: There's a couple of -- what I perceive as a couple of aspects to your question. One that I testified to is that we are limited in that our assessments are limited to the information that's known. So, if there's information out there that we don't know about, that we haven't collected, then by that very attribute, it would not be part of the analysis and assessment.

But having said that, I've testified, as well, that we consult a wide cross-section of both law enforcement and we work closely with other stakeholders. Some of those stakeholders bring vast knowledge and expertise with regards to money laundering. And as a result, I -- I do not agree with the -- with the thought that -- that our focus is on only the money laundering sectors as seen by the police. I believe very clearly that we're drawing upon the expertise of others and -- and that that's reflected and where our assessments are directed.

O But in terms of how that's reflected in the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

threat assessments, if I -- I can raise the example that I believe you gave about -- I think you indicated that only two percent of groups involved in money laundering were engaged in trade-based money laundering, which is comparatively low in respect of -- in comparison to other sectors or typologies. But you indicated that that was because it's more sophisticated and harder to detect, right? Correct? And so, I'd suggest that the fact that it's more sophisticated and harder to detect doesn't mean it's not in fact occurring at a more significant level than two percent? Is that fair?

- MR. GILCHRIST: As I've testified with regards to trade-based money laundering -- as actually as I've testified with regards to money laundering in general, we do believe that our assessment of it, that the level of organized crime involvement in money laundering is currently under-reported through our assessment processes. And I've testified as to the efforts that are ongoing to try to improve the collection of that information.
- Yes, and -- and similarly, then, you'd agree with me that, for instance, financial -- financial institutions don't feature in what -- what's been highlighted as forming typologies of money laundering that are most prevalent in B.C., but that it's simply maybe just a matter of that not being as visible or more harder to detect or simply the police not having focused their resources on -- on that sector. Is that not fair?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Well, I -- once again, one way or the other, I don't know if I can make that same connection that you're referring to, other than in a broad sense, that we -- we acknowledge limitations in our assessments, in that we are limited to the information that is available to us. But having said that, some of the -- you know, to use your example with the financial sector, there are positive partnerships and -- you know, with that sector, so I don't want to leave the impression that there's no information from that sector that's being made available to law enforcement and by extension to CISC in our

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

assessments.

I do acknowledge -- you'll recall earlier in my testimony yesterday that one of the challenges that we face is in relation to the availability of information for us to assess. I testified with regards to the fact that there are very few pure intelligence collection resources, and so we -- we do face a limitation in that a lot of our information in relation to serious and organized crime does originate from police investigations and police investigators, as opposed to a more pure -- you know, from a methodological perspective, intelligence collection.

- And -- and perhaps -- feel free to answer this as Q well, but perhaps it's more directed to Officer Wellwood -- because if -- if you look at other threat assessments, for instance, the national threat assessment, the financial -- and we've heard about some of these in -- with prior witnesses -- financial institutions are consistently seen as the highest risk and -- and at the top of the charts. And, Officer Wellwood, you indicated that organized criminal groups associated with money laundering in B.C., there were main -- four main typologies that are most prevalent and that did not include financial institutions. So, so I ask you essentially the same question. It's not -- I would suggest that it's not necessarily that it's less prevalent, that money laundering is less prevalent in financial institutions, it may simply be not one of the typologies that's most visible or looked at by police. Is that fair?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I would say that's consistent with my testimony yesterday. The information I provided regarding frequency at which we had noted typologies for money laundering is based on information we had available to us. And similar to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's response, that's to include law enforcement, but also other sources of information.
- Q And -- and given the low number of money laundering investigations, for the police to have a major case in one sector or -- or for them to focus on one sector in the context of -- of one or several investigations, that fact would likely skew the statistics, at least for that -- that

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

time period? Is that fair?

- MR. WELLWOOD: I can't speak to the -- the volume of law enforcement investigations regarding money laundering. I -- I can state that CISBC/Yukon, within the Integrated Threat Assessment Process, we look at indicators, and we aren't necessarily bounded by one particular type of investigation, or whatever the most serious offence may have been in that investigation. And if we see indicators leaning towards money laundering occurring as a part of an illicit drugs investigation or a fraud investigation or some sort of cyber-related offence, we would be looking to capture and assess that information. Again, regardless of the type of the investigation itself, with an investigational focus.
- Q And in terms of the sources of information, can you -- and that's directed to -- to probably the entire panel -- could you just talk a bit about the usefulness of the information received from FINTRAC and what purpose it tends to serve for you? Is it -- for instance, is it simply more helpful in devising typologies or -- or seeing where efforts need to be focused in terms of either sector jurisdiction, or is it -- or is it really most useful in the context of a specific investigation?
- MR. GILCHRIST: From a CISC perspective, information that's available from FINTRAC is -- is of assistance to us in understanding the overall suspicious activity, the overall money laundering -- suspected money laundering activity, including trends, methodologies, areas of geographic concern. So, it's very useful information from that perspective.

With regards to its usefulness on enforcement, simply not within my -- my purview. I don't -- I don't have that -- that knowledge to be able to inform you on that. But with regards -- once again, just to summarize, with regards to criminal intelligence, their products are very helpful for our analysts, and certainly they're one of the departments that our analysts are very avid consumers of any products that are available from FINTRAC.

Q And is that -- unless someone else wants to jump

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

in on that, is it a two-way street with FINTRAC or is it really you -- the police receives information from FINTRAC and -- and I only raise this because I -- I believe the legislation that has created FINTRAC allows -- or envisions FINTRAC providing some level of feedback to reporting entities and -- and so -- so I wonder, is FINTRAC limited to the information it has in its own possession from the reporting entities or -- or do they obtain information from law enforcement?

- MR. GILCHRIST: I would respectfully defer that question to FINTRAC. I'd be speculating if I was to describe how they build their products, so I'll defer to FINTRAC for that.
- Q Okay, and in terms of the other panelists, just from a B.C. perspective, would the same answer apply? Just in terms of the usefulness of the information from FINTRAC.
- MR. WELLWOOD: I would respond that the answer for CISBC/Yukon would be consistent with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's responses.
- Q Okay. And I believe my last question is -- is just between the -- the absence of differentiation between legal and illegal casinos. So, in the numbers that we've been provided in respect of the -- how prominent money laundering in respect of casinos is -- I believe you've all indicated that there's no distinction made at present between legal and illegal casinos or gaming. And it just strikes me, I just wonder how that -- not differentiating that is of assistance to police agencies, because -- because of how significantly different it is and how -- I expect you would investigate activities that take place in a legal casino much differently than you would in an underground casino. So, I just wonder, is that -- would that not be a significant enough distinction to make going forward?
- MR. GILCHRIST: With regards to the typologies, and -and as I've indicated, through the Integrated
 Threat Assessment Process, we do gather
 information with regards to typologies. However,
 I did testify that one of the typologies is a
 what some may consider a broad category of
 casinos/gambling. And I've testified that the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

term "gambling" refers to both illegal and legal gambling. As the Integrated Threat Assessment Process does not make a distinction between involvement in illegal gambling or the abuse or exploitation of legal gambling by organized crime.

With regards to your question as to, you know, would there be value in -- I having a subcategory, if I can frame it that way, without -- without, you know, specifically assessing that question, I can't really provide you a definitive answer one way or the other.

What I can say is that, as I've testified, our Integrated Threat Assessment Process is an evergreen process. We bring together experts from across the country on a regular basis to have discussions as to is the -- is the methodology meeting our needs, are there other things that we need to drill down deeper on, to -- to use an expression, and it's all -- it's possible that that would be something that would surface, you know, at a future point, but at this point here, all I can really testify to is the fact that the process as it currently stands does not make that differentiation.

- Q Those are all my questions, thank you.
- A Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Mainville.

MR. McCLEERY: Mr. Commissioner, if I may interject, I think our time allocations allow us to have a 10-minute break, and I suggest we take that now.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right, we'll do that. Thank you, Mr. McCleery. We'll take 10 minutes.

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 10-minute recess, until 12:30 p.m. Please mute your mike and turn off your video. Thank you.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is now resumed.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness, recalled.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Mainville, Counsel for Robert Kroeker

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness, recalled.

RYLAND WELLWOOD, a witness, recalled.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. I understand now that Ms. Chiu, of BMW Canada and BMW Financial Services, has some questions to ask of the panel.
- MR. McCLEERY: Sorry to interrupt, Mr. Commissioner.
 I believe it's Mr. McFee, for Mr. Lightbody next
 on the list.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
- MR. McCLEERY: I believe it's Mr. McFee, for Mr. Lightbody.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I beg your pardon. You're quite right. I'm sorry, Mr. McFee, I -- I jumped over you.
- MR. McFEE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I can be forgettable on occasion, so --

EXAMINATION BY MR. McFEE:

- Q My first question is addressed to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist. In your testimony yesterday, you advised the Commission as to the number of organized crime groups that were identified as being involved in money laundering, and that was 176? Did I understand you correctly? I'm sorry, you seem to be muted.
- MR. GILCHRIST: My apologies, sir. Yes, that figure is correct with regards to the number of organized crime groups involved -- assessed as being involved in money laundering activities in 2019.
- Q And then you went on to assist the Commission by outlining the most prevalent money laundering typologies used by these assessed organized crime groups, and you gave us percentages by certain economic sectors. And I think, as I have got my notes, it's private sector business, 28 percent, money service business, and informal value transfer systems, nine percent, casinos and gambling, 20 percent, real estate, seven percent.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McFee, Counsel for James Lightbody

Do you remember that evidence?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I recall the evidence.
- I just want to make sure I understand this analysis and what use it provides to us. Just to be clear, these figures are the percentage of the 176 assessed organized crime groups that are identified as being engaged in money laundering in those particular sectors of the economy?
- MR. GILCHRIST: If you can bear with me for one moment. Yes, so for clarification, the testimony was provided in relation to money laundering typologies, and you're correct in that it is of the 680 assessed organized crime groups that were identified in 2019, 176 of that 680 were identified to be involved in money laundering activities. And then a further analysis was done to provide the most prevalent typologies, and —and as a result, my testimony broke that down by categories. Private sector businesses, money service businesses, casino gambling, real estate and cryptocurrency.
- Q But just to be clear, those percentages you provided to the Commission are percentages of the 176 identified organized crime groups that are engaged in money laundering in those particular sectors of the economy?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's -- that's correct, it is a percentage of the 176 organized crime groups. And keeping in mind, of course, that organized crime groups may be involved in more than one typology.
- Q Right. But does this analysis tell the Commission anything about the relative quantum of the total proceeds of crime that are perceived to be laundered in these identified sectors of the economy?
- MR. GILCHRIST: No, it does not speak to quantification. As I testified yesterday, the issue of quantifying money laundering is -- is very challenging. We do -- it's not something that CISC has -- has assessed, a formal quantification of the amount of money laundering that's occurring, either in general or within the specific typologies.

There were -- as I acknowledged yesterday, there are other groups who have provided estimates of the overall amount of money

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McFee, Counsel for James Lightbody

laundering that occurs in Canada. And we do -we do use those as far as general knowledge or
background context, but they were -- they were
kept in that -- in that -- in that context simply
because the estimates that we have seen are quite
varied. And given the secretive nature of money
laundering, that it's -- it's very difficult to
-- to put a dollar figure on with precision.

Having said that, I acknowledge that some other groups have done -- invested considerable effort in attempting to do that. And when we do see those figures, it is helpful for general context but only as context.

- Q Fair enough, but just to flesh out the use to which the Commission could put these percentages. By way of example -- and this is theoretical -- the money services business and informal value transfer systems are utilized by nine percent of the 176 identified and assessed organized crime groups, according to these statistics, correct?

 MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct.
- Q But foreseeably, and we don't know this, 50 percent of the proceeds of crime could be laundered through that sector, even though only nine percent of the organized crime groups are utilized, and you just don't have that information, do you?
- MR. GILCHRIST: In the absence of comprehensive assessment of the quantification for any of those sectors, I'm not really able to draw conclusions one way or the other.
- Q All right. And so when we see casinos and gambling are utilized by 10 percent of the organized crime groups, potentially only one percent of the proceeds of crime might be laundered through casinos in gambling; we just don't know that, do we?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, I cannot give exact quantification. The -- through these statistics, we -- we certainly hear -- and it's reflected in the Public Report on Organized Crime -- for example -- if you can just bear with me. My apologies for taking such a delay. On page 11, the final paragraph, in the one -- in the example that we provide with regards to a network, the last sentence:

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McFee, Counsel for James Lightbody

This network is comprised predominantly of career criminals and highly-interconnected organized crime groups, and is believed to have laundered proceeds of crime totaling upwards of hundreds of millions of Canadian dollars.

So, in that context, we do receive some information on specific organized crime groups, but it's -- it's clearly acknowledged that the quantification is often an estimate and is a difficult number to put any precision to.

- Q And you fairly stated that in this category, casinos and gambling, that includes legal and illegal gambling?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct.
- Q But even within legal gambling, that sector, would you agree, is diverse in that there's online legal gambling and then there's traditional bricks and mortar casinos?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, that gambling would -- would involve both of those types of gambling and -- and others.
- Q So has the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada done any analysis of what portion of the proceeds of crime within that sector are laundered through traditional bricks and mortars casinos versus legal online gambling versus illegal gambling, or is it all just lumped together?
- MR. GILCHRIST: For the purposes of the money laundering typologies, it -- it does not make that distinction. There -- you know, in assessing, you know, specific subsets, such as the illicit or illegal online sportsbooks, once again, I -- I don't recall having seen any precise figures other than it certainly generates, you know, very large amounts of illicit funds for organized crime. But to put a precise figure on that, which I believe is what you're looking for, is not -- I do not have that information.
- Q Well, I'm not -- not even -- I appreciate the difficulty in quantification. We've heard some evidence about that. But I'm not even looking for a precise figure. Has your service done any analysis of what portion of the 10 percent of the organized crime groups that launder money through

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McFee, Counsel for James Lightbody

- casinos and gambling do it through legal bricks and mortars casinos versus legal online gambling versus illegal gambling, sports betting?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Not that I'm aware of. It's not to -it's possible that analysts that work in the
 various criminal markets have done some thinking
 around that, but as far as a finding or
 definitive assessment on that, not that I'm aware
 of.
- Q So the Commission's left in a situation, you'll agree with me, that when we get this 10 percent of assessed organized crime groups are laundering money through casinos and gambling, that the actual percentage of organized crime groups that are laundering money through legal bricks and mortars casinos could be anywhere from zero to 10 percent?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The -- as I've testified, that -- that typology and the way the information is gathered is -- is not further subdivided, and therefore there is not an answer that I can provide as to what fraction of that would relate to illegal gambling, what fraction of that would relate to legal gambling.
- Q My next question is addressed, if I could, to the panel members from the B.C. Bureau, but Chief Superintendent, you can have input into it is fine, but I think it's primarily for the members of the B.C. Bureau. Are you aware that in the spring of 2016, a combined special forces enforcement unit, with the acronym JIGIT, the Joint Illegal Gaming Investigation Team, was formed in British Columbia?
- MS. STEVENS: Sorry, can you ask the question again? You're a bit low.
- Q I'm sorry. Are you aware that in the spring of 2016, an announcement was made of the formation of a joint forces special investigation unit with the acronym JIGIT, Joint Illegal Gaming Investigation Team?
- MS. STEVENS: Yes.
- Q And as I understand it, and tell me if this accords with your understanding, that unit was activated and -- and on the scene in 2017?
- MS. STEVENS: I do not have any direct information about that. I'll just defer to Ryland in case he may.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McFee, Counsel for James Lightbody

- MR. WELLWOOD: I don't have any direct information regarding that.
- Q Well, Chief Superintendent said in his testimony earlier today that information from a variety of sources is of assistance to law enforcement and to the creation of intelligence. Did this joint investigation team provide information and intelligence respecting the extent and scope for illegal gaming in British Columbia to the B.C. Bureau, from 2017 on?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I don't have that information available in front of me or via recollection. We -- again, we deal with information from a wide number of sources and partner agencies, and unfortunately, I'm unable to comment.
- Q Inspector Stevens, are you able to help us with that? Are you able to help us with whether or not this Joint Illegal Gaming Investigation Team provided information and intelligence to the B.C. Bureau in this timeframe, after 2017, respecting illegal gambling in British Columbia?
- MS. STEVENS: No, I'm not. I don't deal with the information itself. That's why I have the team of analysts and analyst supervisors who do that. We deal with thousands of pieces of information and data. We aren't able to answer that, weren't expecting that question, so I'm not aware if we have received information from them or not.
- MR. McFEE: Those are my questions. Thank you.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McFee. And now I think we turn to Ms. Chiu, for BMW Canada and BMW Financial Services.
- MS. CHIU: Yes, thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

EXAMINATION BY MS. CHIU:

- Q My questions today are for Chief Superintendent Gilchrist. As you stated at the beginning of your testimony yesterday, you noted that you are not an expert in money laundering, but rather, that you have knowledge of money laundering as an aspect of organized crime; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct. It's one of several activities that are undertaken by organized crime groups.
- Q Thank you. So, my questions will focus on the

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Chiu, Counsel for BMW

- Public Report on Organized Crime which has been marked as Exhibit 3, Appendix E. Yesterday, you provided testimony that 680 organized crime groups were assessed in 2019; is that correct?

 MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct.
- Q And of these 680 groups, you mentioned that 176, or approximately 26 percent of them, were identified to be engaged in money laundering, were suspected or believed to be involved in money laundering activities; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct.
- Q And so at a national level, you had identified private sector businesses as the most prevalent money laundering typology; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: As one of the typologies, yes, it was, and just going to my reference notes, I do believe it was at the top percentage. I'll just --
- Q I have 28 percent in my notes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, that is correct. Private sector businesses was one of the money laundering typologies most prevalently used, and at 28 percent was the highest of the typologies from a number of groups nationally that use that typology.
- Thank you. And those private sector businesses you're referring to, are those businesses that are owned or controlled by criminal organizations?
- MR. GILCHRIST: It would be a combination of [indiscernible break in recording] sector businesses, maybe groups and other private sector businesses would -- not be owned by the organized crime group, but would be being used as a typology for other money laundering activities.
- Q If I could take you to page 10 of the public report.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes.
- Q There's a section on traditional organized crime networks, and it's specifically at paragraph 3. So:

Traditional organized crime groups maintain control of hundreds of businesses in multiple industries, including food services, transportation, construction and haulage...

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Ms. Chiu, Counsel for BMW

1 2 -- and et cetera. There's a number of other
3 industries mentioned. Is that the appropriate
4 reference in the public report for your comments
5 in relation to the sectors involved in money

laundering?

- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm not sure if I understand your question.
- Yesterday, you provided testimony in relation to the most prevalent money laundering typologies, the most which was private sector businesses. So, my question is whether the reference at page 10 in the report is what you are referring to. You had given examples of various types of industries that were at the top, being food services, transportation or automotive, as well as construction, so I just wanted to confirm that that is the part of the report that you were referring to?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The -- thanks for clarifying the question. I now understand it. The reference on page -- excuse me -- on page 10 is specific to traditional organized crime networks, and that would be part of but would not be exclusive. So, for clarity, when I'm -- when I'm referring to approximately 28 percent of the groups nationally, using private sector businesses as one of their money laundering typologies, that would include traditional organized crime networks, but not exclusive to the -- that -- networks.
- Q Thank you for clarifying. And will you agree with me that there's a distinction between private sector businesses owned or controlled by criminals and those owned by legitimate non-criminal entities?
- MR. GILCHRIST: In what sense?
- Q In -- in a general sense, that --
- MR. GILCHRIST: In a general -- in a general sense, there are obvious differences between private sector businesses that are owned or controlled by organized crime, however, it's not to -- excuse me -- it's both types of private sector businesses can be used by organized crime to launder illicit funds.
- Q Now, specifically in relation to the automotive industry, you will agree with me that you do not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

have specific expertise in relation to anti-money laundering efforts used by legitimate non-criminal entities; is that correct?

- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct. I do not have that specific knowledge or expertise with regards to the automotive industry and what their anti-money laundering efforts are.
- Q Thank you, sir. Those are my questions.

MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Chiu. And now I understand -- and please correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Farrell, but the B.C. Civil Liberties Association does not have any questions?
- MS. FARRELL: That's correct, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So, we'll move to Mr. Comeau, on behalf of the Transparency International Coalition.

MR. COMEAU: Thank you. EXAMINATION BY MR. COMEAU:

This -- Good afternoon. I'm here on behalf of Transparency International Canada, "Publish what you Pay" and "Canadians For Tax Fairness." to begin, I just want to clear up a matter raised through questioning earlier this afternoon. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, since money laundering in Canada is sourced from both predicate crimes committed in Canada and predicate crimes committed in foreign jurisdictions around the world, would it be incorrect to conclude that if Canadian law enforcement were to focus solely on reducing predicate crimes, money laundering in Canada would be reduced? In other words, do you agree that Canadian law enforcement agencies have no jurisdictional power or ability to reduce foreign predicate crimes, and therefore their focusing only on predicate crimes and not money laundering itself would be a mistake?

- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm sorry, sir, the volume dropped at the end. If I could have you repeat the question?
- Q The whole question or just the last part?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm not clear what your question is, so if perhaps by -- if you can summarize it, just so I can --

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

- Q Sure. Basically, I'm saying there's predicate -for money laundering in Canada, it can come from
 predicate crimes committed in Canada and
 committed outside of Canada. And so the
 suggestion made earlier this afternoon for law
 enforcement agencies to focus only on reducing
 predicate crimes, it would not necessarily follow
 that you've reduced money laundering, because
 many of those predicate crimes are committed in
 foreign jurisdictions where we have absolutely no
 jurisdiction whatsoever, no jurisdictional power,
 and no ability to reduce those crimes? Is that
 -- does that make sense?
- MR. GILCHRIST: If I understand your question correctly, I think there's a few aspects to it there that I could comment on. One is, as I've testified, with regards to the enforcement efforts and where they are directed or should be directed is really an area outside of -- of my purview.

With regards to serious and organized crime and where it operates, most certainly it's not a purely domestic issue. Many -- as I've testified, many of the organized crime groups have significant links to international -- to other countries. And in a general sense, tackling serious and organized crime requires a comprehensive approach beyond any one individual jurisdiction, beyond any individual municipality, beyond an individual province. Often, it's a multi-region approach that's required.

And more specific to your question, or as I understood it, is with regards to international, and certainly working closely with -- with partners. I'm once again keeping my comments to the criminal intelligence area. Working closely with information from international partners is an important piece of understanding the -- the activities of organized crime in Canada.

Q Okay, thank you for that. Now, for clarity, can you confirm that the pie diagram -- well, I'm going to refer you to the 2019 Public Report on Organized Crime, page 4, Figure 2. And that's the proportional overview of the 2019 National High-Level Threat Groups' Criminal Activities and Scope. For clarity, can you confirm that the pie diagram is referencing high-level threat

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

crimes committed in Canada? In other words, these crimes are not often -- may and often do have have international connections, but the assessment is being made with respect to crimes committed predominantly in Canada itself; is that correct?

- MR. GILCHRIST: The -- and you're -- sorry, once again, sir, the volume is problematic. But you're referring to the pie chart on page 4 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, is what I heard. And so Figure 2, which is on page 4, as explained on page 3, provides a proportional overview of the high-level threat groups of which there were 14 assessed in 2019, and it provides a proportional view into the criminal activities, which is the one pie chart on the top left, and the one on the bottom left is representative of the geographic reach.
- O Thank you very much.
- MR. GILCHRIST: If I understood you correctly, it is specific to the high-level threat groups.
- Q Thank you. Now, if foreign-sourced money laundering is coming into Canada, and it were estimated to be so large that it was significantly inflating housing prices in Canada's major cities, such that entire generations of Canadians couldn't afford to buy a home, and there was also increase in the number of empty houses and condominiums hollowing out the local economy and reducing the tax base, would that degree of money laundering, on its own, amount to high-risk threat?
- MR. GILCHRIST: As explained in the public report, there's a number of elements that are considered when assessing a group and whether it's a highlevel threat. I don't think that -- certainly the description you've provided is -- would be very concerning. However, it's -- there are multiple elements that are taken into consideration when assessing. In an effort to try to explain to, in this case, the general public as to what would result in a group becoming a high-level threat, the common, once again, generic descriptors would be that they have interprovincial links, if not always international connections, engage in multiple criminal markets, use violence to further their criminal business, have a large number of

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

criminal organized crime group association links.

So, I think that -- the point that I'm attempting to make here is that the assessment of what results in an organized crime group being attributed as a high-level threat involves multiple criteria.

- Q Thank you very much. Yesterday, you testified that CISC had not made an estimate of the amount of money laundering in Canada. Is the reason that it's probably impossible to do, given the shortage of reliable data available due to the secrecy surrounding money laundering?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I guess impossible would be -- not a term that I would use, simply because perhaps -- I simply don't know if it is possible, I guess would be my evidence. What I can say is that CISC, as I testified, we haven't put a dollar figure on it as far as quantifying it. We do follow, with a great interest, other groups that have invested significant efforts. But we do note that the figures that are quoted, they often vary or they are a significant range. And so, it is safe, from a CISC perspective, to say that we would see it as a very challenging area.

Whether it's theoretically possible or not, I would defer to perhaps academics or other experts, but certainly not something that we have been able to -- to come up with a dollar figure. Not that we -- given that we're supporting operations, I think it's an important distinction that we do do assessments and analysis, but we don't do pure research for -- for the explicit and sole purpose of research. We're often assessing in order to provide advice and guidance to our law enforcement operational partners. And so, it's -- the point that I'm attempting to make here is that we -- we do follow closely other efforts in this, but it is not something that we have completed the research in.

- Q Thank you. Is it more difficult to obtain reliable evidence and data of money laundering in Canada when the predicate crime is committed in a foreign jurisdiction?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The international nature of criminal offences increases the complexity of understanding and properly assessing the nature of -- of an organized crime group. Once again,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

with regards to enforcement and what impact that would have on Canadian enforcement investigations, if the predicate crime is committed in a foreign jurisdiction, I would defer to my -- future witnesses from the enforcement area of Canadian law enforcement. But purely from a criminal intelligence perspective, I can indicate that when organized crime groups operate across borders, it is increasingly complex and -- and is more difficult to -- to collect the information required to give a comprehensive assessment on the organized crime group.

- Q It is even more difficult, complex, when the foreign jurisdiction is an authoritarian or corrupt regime?
- MR. GILCHRIST: We work with a variety of countries around the world. Canadian law enforcement works with a variety of countries around the world. It's clear that countries that we have information sharing agreements with or that generally cooperate police to police, it's clear that information for the intelligence process would originate easier from -- from those countries, likeminded countries, and that some countries are -- if the criminal acts are occurring in those countries, it can be extremely difficult to obtain information to assist in our development of an intelligence assessment.
- Q Thank you. Is it fair to say, when combating money laundering, if you were granted just one wish, right near the top would be greater access to reliable actionable high-integrity information of evidence in money laundering and its predicate crimes?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Could you repeat what that wish was, sir? Just so I --
- Q Sure. Right near the top of that wish list would be greater access to a reliable, actionable, high-integrity information and evidence of money laundering and its predicate crimes. When combating money laundering, would that be the top of your wish list?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Absolutely, the accurate and reliable information is the cornerstone for developing, you know, timely and accurate criminal intelligence. It's a fairly general question,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

- and my answer is general in response. But the underlying principle of where the information comes from, is it accurate, is it timely, can it be depended on, is -- absolutely has an impact on our ability to assess organized crime.
- Q Thank you. In your opinion, would it improve the integrity of information -- well, first of all, are you aware that the B.C. Government is in the process of developing and implementing a public registry of beneficial ownership of land, and it's also in public discussions about a potential public registry of beneficial ownership of companies? Are you generally aware of that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I do have awareness of the B.C. Landowner Transparency Act, if that's -- if that's what you're referring to.
- O Yes.
- MR. GILCHRIST: I will -- I will preface that answer, though, however, it's a general awareness. It's not -- that specific piece of legislation is not something that I've delved into in great detail, but I am aware of it in a general sense.
- Q Thank you very much. In your opinion, would it improve the integrity of the information filed on the beneficial ownership registry if the government were to proactively vet the information filed on the registry, in particular, vet the identification information filed with respect to beneficial owners?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm not sure if I understand your question, sir.
- Q I'm basically saying would the integrity of the information filed on the beneficial ownership registry improve if that information were vetted?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. Yes, I would agree with that statement. One of the challenges with regards to developing a comprehensive assessment of an organized crime group is not knowing the ultimate beneficial owners, here in Canada. For any type of corporate intelligence analysis or research about companies in Canada, beneficial ownership information becomes an important piece of -- pieces of information in order to properly assess organized crime involvement.
- Q Thank you.
- 46 MR. GILCHRIST: When --
 - Q Oh, sorry, go ahead.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

- MR. GILCHRIST: Just to expand on that. conducting intelligence research on professional money launderers, for example, or for identifying the structures of transnational organized crime group, those are examples of where intelligence requires access to corporate registry databases across the country to determine the ownership of companies known to criminal intelligence. example, a list of directors. And these registries, as they currently exist, are accessible by an intelligence within Canadian law enforcement without the need for a warrant. What's not known, though, is -- when conducting that research -- who may actually be behind these companies. Simply because, with the current process, it's easy to register in nominee names, in Canada. And so, I believe, in answering your question, having a vetted process which validates the information is an important part of assessing the assets of organized crime groups.
- Q Thank you for that. Now, how about requiring filers to provide certified copies of government-issued photo ID for beneficial owners? Which I'm pretty sure you know, it's an integral part of the identification method set out by FINTRAC for reporting entities. Would that likely lead to improved integrity quality of information filed on the registry?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, without having delved deeper into this topic, I can't really state one way or the other as to what that verification of identification should look like.
- O Fair enough.
- MR. GILCHRIST: But the underlying principle of the identification being verified is critical. Otherwise -- otherwise, it's impossible or close to impossible to know whether the identity that's been registered is in fact an actual person or -- or not. As well, it's -- it remains unknown whether the name that's -- that's put on the registry is actually a director of the -- of the business or whether it's simply a nominee that's been a name that's been used. So, the validation of the information going into a registry would be a critical piece in improving the accuracy, and by extension, our ability to use that information.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

- Q Thank you. Given that one of the principal objectives of the public registry, publicly accessible registry, is to enable persons from around the world, investigative journalists, NGOs, ordinary citizens, to use their local knowledge to connect falsely-declared registrants to the true beneficial owners, would it be counterproductive to require those persons searching the registry to pay a user fee each and every time they conducted a search?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I really don't feel that the fee structure, or even whether there is a fee, is something that would be within my purview. I can only presume that others responsible for pursuing this would be -- have that expertise. I really don't think that it's appropriate for me to get into whether it's -- whether a fee is charged or what that fee is.
- Q That's fair enough. Let me move the fee thing to -- to law enforcement agencies. Would it be particularly unhelpful in combating money laundering to require law enforcement agencies and other government officials across Canada to pay a user fee each and every time they conducted a search in the B.C. registry?
- MR. GILCHRIST: No, I don't think that would be helpful to have to pay a fee, simply because of the volume of potential checks against the database. However, that's a general statement. Once again, it's outside of my purview. I would defer to others that, perhaps on the enforcement side, may have views on that.

What I am aware of is the Canadian
Association of Chiefs of Police and their
resolution, which I have reviewed, in relation to
beneficial ownership. And as part of their -their resolution, they have urged governments to
create a centralized and Canadian beneficial
ownership registry to provide law enforcement and
competent authorities with readily accessible,
accurate and verified beneficial ownership
information, to prevent, detect and deter
financial crime and advance money laundering
and/or terrorist financing investigation. And
the reason I refer to that is, in reviewing that
CACP resolution, it's clear to me that although
it speaks to investigations, in a larger context,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

it talks about preventing, detecting and deterring financial crime and to advance investigations. And my point being is that the production of criminal intelligence assessments would be included in that description as part of the law enforcement activities that would be -- that would benefit from the existence of such registry.

- Q Thank you. Would it be helpful to assist, or more specifically, to other law enforcement agencies in Canada, to have the ability to receive from persons in Canada and all around the world, information and evidence connecting falsely declared beneficial owners of the perpetrators of the predicate crimes?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The underlying principle is that the registry -- the information needs to be accurate. And so, if one of the mechanisms to validate the accuracy of the information is, as you've described -- first of all, submission of some sort of documentation with a level of comfort that the information is actually accurate, that would be one critical piece. And then the other piece would be the ability for individuals who may have knowledge beyond what law enforcement would have, and if there are incidents where a member of the general public, as you've said -it could be a member of the general public or it could be a researcher or it could be a member of a media, but if they have information that suggests that the information in the registry is incorrect, absolutely, there'd be benefit in that -- that information surfacing.
- Q I'm actually going much further than that. I'm actually saying it's not just that the identification information is incorrect, it's that -- let's say you have someone overseas and they see that the person that is registered on the registry is the, say, 19-year-old nephew of a -- the mayor of their town, known to be corrupt, and it is -- or it's of a known, you know, criminal, criminal organization -- in other words, it's far beyond identification, it's actually talking about providing Canadian law enforcement agencies with evidence connecting the falsely declared beneficial owner with the perpetrators of the predicate crimes. Would that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

be helpful for Canadian law enforcement agencies to have a way to receive that information? MR. GILCHRIST: I think that information coming from whatever source that can provide perhaps linkages between an individual to an organized crime group would be of assistance. And once again, I'll keep my comments confined to the generation of criminal intelligence assessments. However, absolutely, the reality is, is that as names are registered, some of that information, when checked against intelligence holdings, may indicate a linkage to an organized crime group. However, it's still knowledge that many such linkages simply would not be known to -- to law enforcement, and therefore if there's opportunities whereas a member of the public, in whatever role that member of the public is, can surface that information, that would be beneficial for Canadian criminal intelligence in -- in essentially pointing to the right direction of a potential linkage to organized crime. Obviously, it would be inherent on that information being validated, but as a -- as a preliminary indicator to point in the right direction, it would be very valuable information. Is it correct that FINTRAC, Canada Border Services Agency and -- and Canada Revenue Agency each have a confidential tip line for the public to confidentially provide information about

- potential crimes to these agencies?

 MR. GILCHRIST: I don't have any reason to doubt that statement. However, I must say, I don't personally have knowledge of what their tip lines are. I can only say in a general sense that, with my experience in law enforcement, most major federal departments, particularly ones that are involved in law enforcement functions in one way or another, do have tip lines. I just -- I don't have that [indiscernible overlapping speakers]
- Q Fair enough. I'm really -- I'm really looking for the concept. In the same vein, are you aware of the existence, say, of Crime Stoppers programs used across Canada, just the existence --
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I am.
- Q Okay. And more specifically, are you aware that according to -- I'm just reading you off the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

statistics of their website -- the Ontario Association of Crime Stoppers website states that since its inception in Ontario, it has resulted in 126,000 arrests, and over \$2 billion in property recovered and drugs seized.

- MR. COMEAU: And I believe the Commission may have that statistic page. If we could put that up on the screen, if possible. Is that possible?
- THE COMMISSIONER: Can you -- Can you -- I'm sorry Can you identify that by -- [indiscernible overlapping speakers]
- MR. COMEAU: I can't. Sorry. It's okay. I tell you what, if at one point we could put it up -- you know, and have it -- it was entered yesterday, and so I don't have the reference number. But it's not important. I really just would like that to be put into evidence because of the -- just the overwhelmingly surprising number of arrests and over \$2 billion in property recovered. So, what I really want to do is --
- THE COMMISSIONER: If it is already exhibited before the Commission, then it is in evidence.
- MR. COMEAU: Terrific. Thank you very much.
- And I'd like to ask Chief Inspector Gilchrist, are you aware that the Transparency International Coalition has recommended to the B.C. and Canadian Government that a confidential tip line be added to the publicly accessible registries of beneficial ownership so that Canadian law enforcement agencies, probably through FINTRAC, but clearly up to Canadian law enforcement agencies, would receive confidential information from around the world, providing facts and evidence connecting falsely declared beneficial owners with perpetrators of predicate crimes, were you aware of that submission by Transparency International?
- MR. GILCHRIST: No, actually I'm not aware of that specific submission with regards to a tip line. As I've testified, I am aware of a variety of tip lines, and throughout my career, am aware of the benefits of having a readily accessible known way for the public to phone in to report crimes or to report suspicious circumstances. Often it is a launching point for law enforcement to be able to conduct further inquiries based on the information that comes in from the public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

Q Okay.

MR. GILCHRIST: But the specific one that you reference, I just don't have knowledge of it.

- In my understanding -- and I think we're going to find out more over the next couple days, at least I hope -- there's a big problem with the beneficial ownership registry in B.C. and probably will be in other jurisdictions, is the It's the cost of doing the vetting, proper cost. vetting, and if we do this confidential tip line -- and going to you know, -- to Canada --Canadian law enforcement agencies -- it's going to be the cost to them of the additional administrative staff, expert staffing, to analyze the credibility of the information and evidence that they receive through that tip line. So -well, I guess just a rough question. Would \$2 billion go a long way in financing those costs?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I really am not informed to provide -you know, information one way or the other as to
 the cost. I haven't been involved or even
 exposed to -- to those discussions, and it's, as
 I testified, beyond my purview. I simply defer
 to other witnesses as you've mentioned that may
 appear before the Commission.
- Q I'd like to move on to law enforcement's ability to flip front men for money launders, particularly because of -- you know, any time you've got a front man for money laundering, you've got him, but you really want to go after, you know, the perpetrator of the predicate crime. Just generally, are you -- well, I'll give you a specific one, but I'm not expecting you to know the specific one. Are you aware that U.S. Code 18, Section 1001, makes it an offence punishable by fines up to \$5 million and five years in prison for making a false or misleading statement in a government file? I just mean generally, have you heard of that type of thing going on in the States?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That, once again, with regards to enforcement, is largely outside of my purview. I -- I have a general knowledge of various criminal justice systems around the world, but I have not looked into this specific piece of legislation that you refer, and very frankly, I would suggest that -- and very respectfully, I

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

- would suggest that individuals -- law enforcement -- witnesses from the enforcement aspects of that -- Canadian law enforcement are much better positioned to be able to comment on -- on any investigative techniques, including the one that you have alluded to.
- Okay, thank you for that. And also, Q Right. right now we have, I believe, a situation in Canada where, say, a reporting entity, let's say a bank, financial institution, and their client lies to them about their true beneficial owner, the bank bears that risk, and if they get the vetting wrong, it's huge reputational risk, but also they risk sanction for doing so. understanding is there is not an offence, per se, for that client, who has the best information about his own beneficial ownership. It's not an offence, per se, to falsely represent his beneficial ownership to the reporting entity. Does that seem like a hole in our money laundering system?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Once again, I -- I don't feel that it's an area that I have enough knowledge or even that it's within the purview of criminal intelligence to necessarily comment on. I -- one way or the other, I have no doubt that there are other law enforcement -- potentially law enforcement witnesses that would have much greater knowledge in this area, and I would simply refer the Commission to such witnesses.
- Okay, let me -- let me switch then to something 0 much more -- you were talking about, over the last two days, and with much expertise -- and I greatly appreciate all of that. I want to focus on the business models of money laundering, basically putting them into two groups. generally the case that the business model for the drug trade, say, cocaine, fentanyl, those precursors and synthetics, is such that a significant portion of the profits from those drugs sales are reinvested back into the business itself? For example, to purchase more drugs, more drug precursors, in order to accumulate and create more inventory to sell again? Is that -they have to keep reinvesting in their inventory?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That -- that would be part of what they would use the laundered funds, would be to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

continue their illicit activities.

- Thank you. And so is it also the case that -- so money laundering is used for that inventorybased business model. Is it also a case that many other crimes, such as bribery, political corruption, fraud, extortion, tax evasion, there's no inventory per se to replenish, and therefore when criminals launder these proceeds, they're not doing so for the purpose of quickly buying more inventory; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q So I'm saying, if we were to divide money laundering business models into two groups, one would be an inventory-based business, much like, you know, a consumer business, a retailer, wholesaler, they have to keep buying inventory, they sell it, they make a profit, but much of those profits have to go -- reinvested back, i.e. they have to buy more inventory to sell. me, that sounds like the drug trade. Whereas if we're talking about many other types of money laundering predicate crimes like bribery, political corruption, fraud, extortion, tax evasion, there's no inventory per se to replenish. There is certainly revenue, but there's no inventory. They don't have to go back and buy more of whatever got them that money. And so, in other words, when they launder their money, they're not doing so for the purpose to quickly buy more inventory, they're doing it for another purpose. Does that seem fair? A good categorization of inventory and non-inventory?
- MR. McCLEERY: Mr. Commissioner, if I may rudely interrupt. I note that Mr. Comeau is over his time, and in light of Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's earlier comments that he's not an expert on money laundering, I wonder if we might be able to narrow the inquiries and to focus on his particular area of expertise if there are further questions.

MR. COMEAU:

Q So what I was getting at there -THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just before you do, Mr.
Comeau, I think the point is well taken. We're
coming near to the end of the day. I'm not going
to stop you right here and now, but if you can

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition

just focus in on what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist was -- was called to testify about. You're really asking him much more about the area of money laundering and he's already told us he has no expertise in that.

MR. COMEAU:

- Q Well, can I talk about -- so I would like to talk about what you had mentioned previously in your testimony about trade-based money laundering and the difficulties of investigating under and over invoicing and estimating what that is about, and just wondering if you -- if you have -- would be willing to talk about a red flagging system that could be used in trade-based money laundering. Do you have a minute to talk about that?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm not sure what you're referring to with regards to a red flag system.
- Q Well, very briefly, as -- as you've actually talked about previously, an overwhelming majority of trade-based money laundering involves illegal transfer of value through trade that benefits one willing party at the expense of another willing party, but both parties are willing. And that suggests that there is often commonality of beneficial ownership -- the ultimate beneficial owner -- ownership is the same, or they're part of some organized crime syndicate where the beneficial ownership is likely to have commonality. Is that fair? And is it something that CICS or CBSA would be interested in exploring?
- MR. GILCHRIST: The level of detail of your question with regards to trade-based money laundering -- although it is one of the typologies that is considered by CISC, but I just don't have the -- the specialized knowledge in trade-based money laundering to respond to the very precise inquiries that you're asking.
- Q Fair enough. Fair enough.
- THE COMMISSIONER: And I think, Mr. Comeau, you will get a chance at some point to cross-examine witnesses who do have some expertise in that area. So, I just encourage you to bring your cross-examination to a close, as you're well over your time now.
- MR. COMEAU: Yeah, and I will. And I just want to close by thanking the Chief Inspector and other

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

- members of his staff for -- for all they've done over the last couple of days, and thank you for answering my questions. I appreciate it. I'm completed.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Comeau. And we are near the end of our scheduled time for today, but are there any other participants who have any questions who have not had an opportunity yet to ask them? All right. Mr. McCleery, do you have any re-examination you wish to make?
- MR. McCLEERY: I do not. Maybe just one issue to clarify, mostly for the benefit of the record. The website that Mr. Comeau referred to was not entered as an exhibit yesterday, but it was provided along with a set of documents from Transparency International and their coalition partners, so we will speak with Mr. Comeau about the best way to get that into evidence.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. That's All right. So, we have to come to the helpful. end of the proceedings for today, and I think it is important that I thank Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, Inspector Stevens and Mr. Wellwood for their evidence and their attention to detail and providing it to us. You have collectively given us a wide-ranging and thorough introduction to the world of criminal intelligence, and it will help us as we move through the Commission to better understand the need for communication between and among various agencies, and it will also help us in developing a response to the many issues that arise from our mandate. So, thank you, the three of you, and you are now excused from further testimony.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner, for the opportunity to appear as a CISC representative. It's greatly appreciated that CISC was invited to provide and share our insight, and I truly hope that it has been of assistance, and once again, thank you to you and to counsel.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We will now adjourn to tomorrow at 9:30.
- THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for the day and will commence at 9:30 a.m. on June 11, 2020. Thank you.

```
83
Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)
Examination by Mr. Comeau, Counsel for the Transparency International Coalition
```

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO JUNE 11, 2020, AT 9:30

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
```

 A.M.)